DESIGN, APPLICATION AND COMPARISON OF PASSIVE FILTERS FOR THREE-PHASE GRID-CONNECTED RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS
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ABSTRACT

Second and third-order passive filters (LC and LCL) are interesting filters to use for grid-connected PWM inverters. Because of the stability problems of these filters around resonance frequency, series and damping resistor can be add to an LCL filter. However, the resistor value has impact on the filter respond, voltage and current harmonic distortion and filter power loss. In this paper, the mathematic characteristics of LC, LCL filter, series and parallel damping LCL filters will be described with their design to apply in 3-phase PV grid-connected inverter. And, simulations have down to validate the theoretical analysis of the filters on filter performance, power quality and filter power loss for 3-phase grid-connected renewable energy system application.
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INTRODUCTION

Filters are main parts of a renewable energy system. First-order passive filters are L type, which are generally use for controlling grid-connected inverter. The main disadvantage of this type of filters is their big size. Another type of the passive filters is LC filters (second-order). Because of the big size of the inductor, the size of this filter is large. Moreover, time delay and resonance frequency are another drawbacks of LC filters. Compared with a first-order and second-order filters, a third-order LCL filter has lower cost and smaller size in applications above several kilowatts. However, resonance frequency is still as a problem of these filters.

To repress the resonances of an LCL filter, active damping [1]–[2] or passive damping [3]–[5] can be used. The price of active filter is high due to the additional cost of the sensors and control system. Because of the low cost and simple circuit in a stiff grid application, a passive damping strategy is more preferred. A simple damped LCL filter is an LCL filter with series or parallel resistor with capacitor. By adding a resistor to the filter circuit, the power loss will increase. So, finding the optimum resistor value to decrease the peak resonance of LCL filter is very important.

Therefore, in this paper characteristics of LC, LCL filter, series and parallel damping LCL filters will be discussed. Since maintaining a good power quality is important for the reliable operation of the system and loads [6], these filters will be applied to a three-phase PV system. Then, the inverter output will be filtered in order to obtain low voltage and current distortion. And also, the filter impacts on grid current will discussed.

Principle of passive filters

First, grid-connected converters are the interface to connect renewable energy sources to the power system. To reduce the harmonics injected by the converters a high value of input inductance should be used. However, L filter design is easy but in application above several kilowatts it becomes expensive because of using large filter reactor. Moreover, the system dynamic response becomes poor.

LC filter

The filter consists of an inductance in series with the inverter and a capacitance in parallel with the grid (Figure-1). By using this parallel capacitance, the inductance can be reduced, thus reducing costs and losses compare with L filter. By using a large capacitance, other problems such as high inrush currents and high capacitance current at the fundamental frequency or dependence of the filter on the grid impedance for overall harmonic attenuation will appear [7].

Figure-1. Grid-connected LC filter.

The LC filter transfer function of grid side voltage and inverter input voltage in grid-connected mode of operation is given by Equation. (1). The bode plot is presented in Figure-2.

\[
G(s) = \frac{U_g}{U_{inv}} = \frac{1}{S^2LC + 1}
\] (1)
An alternative and attractive solution of first and second order filter problems is to use an LCL filter as shown in Figure-3. With this solution, optimum results can be obtained in the range of power levels up to hundreds of kilovolt amperes, still using quite small values of inductors and capacitors [15].

Compared with a first-order L filter, an LCL filter can better decoupling between filter and power grid. Moreover, excellent attenuation of bode -60 dB/decade to the switching frequency will obtain. But, grid impedance reflected back to the converter side is generally very less. So, if the resonance is excited, the oscillation of that can continue forever and it can make the entire system very vulnerable. This resonance effect can cause instability voltage or current around the resonant frequency.

In order to solve this problem, damping resistor can be add to the LCL circuit. The purpose of applying this damper is to reduce the attenuation and damping (Q-factor) at the characteristic resonance frequency, as it is indicated in Figure-4. The LCL filter transfer function of line side current and inverter input voltage in grid-connected mode of operation is given by Equation (2).

\[ G(S) = \frac{I_2}{U_{inv}} = \frac{1}{S^3 L_1 L_2 C + (L_1 + L_2)S} \]  

(2)

LCL filter with series damping resistor

From the transfer function of LCL filter it is clear that, at the frequency of it has high gain (infinite ‘Q’). The simplest solution may be the addition of series resistance with the capacitor to reduce the ‘Q’ as the capacitor current is most responsible for resonance in LCL filter. Figure-5 shows the series damping topology.

It is also clear from the frequency response of capacitor current. It carries basically resonant component and very less fundamental as well as switching component. Transfer function for this filter is shown as (3).

\[ G(S) = \frac{I_2}{U_{inv}} = \frac{1+SRC}{(L_1 L_2 C)S^3 + R(C(L_1 + L_2)S^2 + (L_1 + L_2)S} \]  

(3)

As it is shown in Figure-6 by increasing the series resistor value in Equation. (3), damping effects to suppress of the peak resonance become well. However, in high frequency the filter respond is better as indicated it Figure-6.
The bode plot is given for the line side current \( V_s \) inverter output voltage in Figure-7. As it clear from (3) by increasing the value of resister, the damping effect in Figure-5 become well as it is indicated in Figure-6. And, it cause the lower Q factor.

Another way to suppress the resonance in LCL filter is using a parallel resistor with the capacitor as it shown in Figurer-8.

The transfer function of parallel damped LCL filter is given by (4). And, the bode plot is presented in Figure-10 for this filter.

Figure-9 presents the bod plot of the parallel damped LCL filter for different resistors. As it indicated in this figure, in low and high frequency filter has a same action. But, the peak resonance will be increased by increasing the resisters value. So, the filter action is different around resonance frequency with different resistors.

The bode plot is given for the line side current \( V_s \) inverter output voltage in Figure-7. As it clear from (3) by increasing the value of resister, the damping effect in Figure-5 become well as it is indicated in Figure-6. And, it cause the lower Q factor.

Another way to suppress the resonance in LCL filter is using a parallel resistor with the capacitor as it shown in Figurer-8.

The transfer function of parallel damped LCL filter is given by (4). And, the bode plot is presented in Figure-10 for this filter.
inverter systems. Increasing the control bandwidth is important for fast operation of the inverter system and also for precise voltage compensation without a phase delay at higher-order harmonics. Thus, there is a trade-off between the attenuation effect and the control bandwidth in the design of LC filters. Generally, the value of $f_c$ is kept below $1/10$th of the inverter switching frequency [9]. The selection of the filter inductance, $L$, should be such that the voltage drop across the inductor is less than $3\%$ of the inverter output voltage [10]. Then, the value of $L$ can be calculated by (5) - (7).

\[
f_c < \frac{1}{10} f_{sw}
\]

\[
I_{L, \text{max}} (2\pi L) < 0.03U_{\text{inv}}
\]

Where $I_{L, \text{max}}$ is the maximum RMS value of the load current and $f$ is the frequency of the output voltage, $U_{\text{inv}}$. The filter capacitance can be calculated from the resonance frequency as below:

\[
C = \frac{1}{(2\pi f_c)^2 L}
\]

To design an LCL passive filter several rules should be considered as listed in below.

1. The voltage drop of the filter inductor is smaller than $5\% - 10\%$ of the network voltage under the rated circumstances [11].

\[
\Delta V_I \leq (0.05 - 0.1)U_g
\]

2. Where $\Delta V_I$ is the inductor voltage loss and $U_g$ is the line voltage. Typically, the ripple current can be chosen as $0.15 - 0.25$ of rated current. In this paper, the ripple current is selected as $20\%$ of the rated current. The maximum current ripple can be derived as in (9) [12]:

\[
\Delta I_{L, \text{max}} = \frac{U_{dc}}{8L f_{sw}} \leq 0.2 I_{\text{rated}}
\]

3. The resonant frequency should be $10 f_i < f_{res} < 0.5 f_{sw}$ where $f_i$ is the grid voltage frequency and $f_{sw}$ is the switching frequency.

4. Let the high order harmonic flow through the capacitance, and the low order harmonic flow through the inductance.

5. Moreover, generally the reactive power absorbed by the filter-capacitor should be less than the rated active power of the system. Then,

\[
Q_c \leq \alpha P_{\text{rated}}
\]

Where $\alpha$ is the reactive power factor and it must be less than $5\%$. Therefore, by considering the above constraints in this paper:

\[
\frac{(2\pi f_i) U_{\text{rated}}}{3U_g \cos \phi} \leq 0.1U_g
\]

Where,

\[
I_{\text{rated}} = \frac{P_{\text{rated}}}{3U_g \cos \phi}
\]

\[10 f_i < \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{L_1 + 2}{L_1L_2C} \right) < 0.5 f_{sw}
\]

\[Q_c = \frac{3V_{\text{rated}}^2}{X_c} = \frac{3\pi^2}{4 \left( \frac{1}{X_c} \right)} = 3(2\pi f_i) C V_{\text{rated}} \leq 5\% P_{\text{rated}}
\]

Where $V_{\text{rated}}$ is the phase voltage (RMS), $P_{\text{rated}}$ is the rated power [15]. $Q_c$ is the absorbed reactive power by capacitor.

Moreover, inverter-side inductor can be considering as 4 to 6 times bigger than the grid-side inductor [11]:

\[L_1 = (4-6)L_2
\]

The purpose of the damping is to reduce the Q-factor at the characteristic resonance frequency. It is easy to achieve by inserting a resistor in series or parallel with the capacitor. Its characteristic resonance frequency, $\omega_{res}$, can be defined by (16) and (17) [12]:

\[
\omega_{res} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_1L_2C_f}}
\]

\[
R = \frac{1}{3\omega_{res} C}
\]

Table-1. Filter parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Type</th>
<th>L1(mH)</th>
<th>L2(mH)</th>
<th>C(µF)</th>
<th>R(Ω)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42.22</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL with series damping</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL with parallel damping</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIMULATION RESULTS

The SIMULINK in MATLAB software is used to simulate the system which is shown in Figure-11. In this paper, the simulation for all filter types in a 20 kW PV grid-connected application is designed. In this simulation a 72 volts battery is considered as the output of a PV array. And, a tuned PI controller is implemented to control the grid side voltage. When the load is equal to 41W, the RMS
value of phase-phase voltage is 220V, switching frequency is 2kHz and the fundamental frequency is 50Hz.

As it clear in Figure-12, resonant peak is reduced with a series resistor. And also, it is completely suppressed by parallel damping resistor with the same value of resistor. Moreover, in high frequency the damping effect to eliminate the harmonic distortion of parallel resistor is better than series which is clear from the slope of the bod plot in Figure-12. It can be proving in decreasing the amount of total harmonic distortion of current at grid-connected which is presented in Figure-13.

Choosing the cut-off frequency of 100Hz for a 2kHz switching frequency from (5), the inverter’s expected maximum load current of 200A, the values of L and C determined for the model were 60mH and 42.22µF respectively using (5) - (7) formulas.

Referring to the design procedure in the previous part, the parameters of LCL filters are calculated. Total inductor will be calculated between 1.88mH and 4.78mH using (9) – (11) formulas. So, in this paper total inductor is considered as 4.5mH. By considering L1 = 4 L2 from (15), L1 and L2 are defined as 3.6mH and 0.9mH. Capacitor value also is limited between 35 µF and 98 µF from (13) and (14). Therefore, C could be considered as 40µF. In this paper, damping resistor is calculated by (17), and it is equal to 1.4Ω.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Types</th>
<th>Active power loss(kW)</th>
<th>Reactive power loss(var)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>-306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL with series R</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL with parallel R</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this case study, the same damping resistor is used in both series and parallel damping LCL filter. Current THD for all filters’ application is shown in Figure-13. As it clear, total harmonic distortion for the LCL filter is less than the LCL filter. But, the voltage THD will increase by 0.02%. By adding a damper resistor, the current THD become reduce. The current THD will be equal to 0.27% and 0.16 respectively.

By calculate the input and output power of the filters, the filter power loss can be obtain. The active and reactive power loss for all filters is presented in Table-2. Based on these data, LCL filter with series damping has 99.5 percent less reactive power compare to the LCL filter with parallel damping resistor.

### Table-2. Filter power loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Types</th>
<th>Active power loss(kW)</th>
<th>Reactive power loss(var)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>-306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL with series R</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL with parallel R</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

A 20 kW three-phase grid-connected inverter with a PI tuned controller is implemented to validate the designed LC, LCL and damped LCL filters and compared their performance. Besides the resonance frequency problem, LCL filters are preferred than LC filters because of their size, weight and price. To overcome the instability problems of LCL filters, damping LCL filters are recommended. However, LCL filter with series damping resistor can eliminate more harmonic distortions compare to the parallel one. But, the filter power loss for LCL filter with parallel damping resistor is higher than series damping. Then, in conclusion it can be seen by considering the filter size, weight, price, stability, harmonic injection to the grid and filter power loss, LCL filter with series damping resistor is the best choice for grid-connected renewable energy systems.
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