



## A SURVEY OF ROUTING PROTOCOLS OF WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK WITH MOBILE SINKS

A. Keerthika and V. Berlin Hency

School of Electronics Engineering, VIT, Chennai, India

E-Mail: [keerthika.a2014phd1146@vit.ac.in](mailto:keerthika.a2014phd1146@vit.ac.in)


### ABSTRACT

Wireless sensor network can be used in military application for monitoring militant activities like tracking enemies, force protection and monitoring the vital signs of the soldiers who are in a battlefield. Wireless sensor network has set of distributed sensor nodes which are connected to each other. These sensor nodes are low powered, low cost, small in size with limited amount of battery supply. While designing the wireless sensor network for sensing and data reporting task limiting the utilization of power resources of the sensors is the important concern in order to extend the lifetime of the wireless sensor network. The nodes which are selected as a mobile sink will quickly consume more battery power and degrade the network lifetime. Sink relocation is the most powerful method to extend the network lifetime without consuming more battery energy. In this paper, a survey of the existing distributed mobile sink routing protocols and the techniques for relocating sink to maximize the network lifetime with respect to the mobile sink routing protocol design requirements and its challenges are explained. With respect to the target applications ways to increase the battery efficiency and selecting the path with low cost by selective routing protocols are also discussed.

**Keywords:** wireless sensor network, mobile sink, sink relocation, energy consumption.

### INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor network (WSN) consists of group of spatially dispersed and dedicated sensors which will monitor and record the physical conditions of the environment and organize the collected data at a central location. WSNs measure environmental conditions like sound, temperature, pollution levels, humidity, wind speed direction and pressure, etc. Wireless Sensor Network is the one which combines many technologies such as the computer, communication and new technology of the information acquisition and processing. The WSN can be widely used in medical, military defense, manufacturing, environmental monitoring, water/waste water monitoring-agriculture, traffic management and other fields.



**Figure-1.** Wireless sensor network.

WSNs were initially designed to facilitate military operations but its application has since been extended to health, traffic, and many other consumer and industrial areas. Most of the sensor nodes suffer limited battery supply. Energy efficiency is the most important factor in WSN. Replacing the batteries of sensor nodes is very difficult. So wireless sensor network have to be able to operate without human intervention for a long time. In WSNs the nodes close to the sinks are easily drain their battery supplies than other nodes in case of static

(immobile) sinks because of intersection of multihop routes and concentration of data traffic towards the sinks. This is called as hotspot problem [1], [2] and this cause the death of the node which reduces the sensing area because of disruption of the topology. Also it causes the sink to be isolated from the topology and affects the data collection from the sink. To overcome this problem, mobile sink concept is introduced [3], [4]. Mobile sink provides the load balancing and achieve uniform energy consumption in the network. But the fresh advertisement of the change in location of the sink through the network is not that easy. The overhead of this operation should be limited to make use of the advantage of energy savings using the mobile sinks. The real time examples are WSN's with mobile sink is applicable for fire detection systems [5]. In a fire detection system more number of sensors is laid on a forest area along with one or more mobile sinks. These sensor nodes are used to get the periodic notification of temperature and humidity. The mobile sink also may be placed on a robot to collect the information from different places of a large field [6]. Battlefield surveillance can be done by using mobile sink as the static sink may be compromised by the adversary [7]. The other examples are traffic monitoring, hospitals and smart houses, rescue mission and pollution control [8]. The design of routing protocols for mobile sinks has to be incorporated with load-balancing in order to achieve uniformity of energy consumption throughout the network so that no node will be allowed to die and hence no information will be lost. This paper discusses a brief survey of routing protocols for wireless sensor network with mobile sink and various sink relocation techniques that increases the lifetime of the network. In section II, overview of mobile and static sink. Section III discusses some routing challenges and design issues. Hence, in Section IV the various routing protocols for WSN using mobile sink have been addressed. Section



V gives the routing protocols for relocating the sink. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

## OVERVIEW OF MOBILE SINK VS STATIC SINK

### Static sink

In olden days WSN is made up of static sensor nodes and static sink nodes for transmission of data [9] in the particular area. So the major energy consumption takes place in the communication model of the network. Also the energy consumption depends on the communication distance between the nodes. Hence to reduce the communication cost multiple static sink has to be deployed on the sensing area and each node is programmed to route to its nearest neighbour nodes. This reduces the path length between the source and sink and also reduction in the maximum absorbed energy ( $E_{max}$ ) because of the multiple static sink. The multiple static sink [10-13] partition the sensor field into small sub field each with one static sink. Hence it provides energy efficiency and good data delivery ratio. One of the main problems in multiple static sink is where to deploy the node in the field to maintain the balance between the data relaying node and other nodes. Programmer has to decide the position of the sensor node. Vincze et al explained this problem as “facility location problem” [14] states that optimal position for placing the facilities and customers should be identified so that the burden can be evenly distributed. Another problem is the nodes close to sink will deplete its energy rapidly when compared with other nodes.

Energy consumption in static sink is achieved by partitioning the sensing area hierarchically or at a single level. This partitioning will be self organizing and it can be predetermined. In addition to this selection of cluster head in each partition is important issue. To avoid the dying of nodes each sensor field is partitioned into cluster and for each cluster one cluster head is selected. The selected cluster head should have higher energy compared with other nodes and it is responsible for collecting and forwarding the data from sensor nodes. Both the cluster formation and cluster head selection reduces the energy dissipation. Cluster and hierarchical structures can be both static and dynamic depends on the sensing application [15]. To design the cluster both self organizing algorithm and fixed regular structure is used. In self organizing algorithm sensor independently determine its cluster head but in latter cluster head is selected at the beginning of the process. This reduces the clustering and routing overhead. Multilevel hierarchy are used to optimize the lifetime of the WSN [16] by using the finest number of aggregators. Also data aggregation can be done at each cluster head to reduce the amount of data transferred to the sink. CSMA-like protocols are used for eliminating the interference of neighbouring clusters.

### Mobile sink

The lifetime of the nodes which is close to the sink or act as a sink is extended with the help of mobile sink [17]. Although it is similar to static sinks, it does not require additional global communication for collecting the

data from single sink. To overcome all the problems of static sink, mobile sink is proposed and shown in Fig 2. There are different types of mobility pattern in mobile sink. They are random mobility, predictable/fixed path mobility, or controlled mobility.

**Random mobility:** In this mobility, sink can select the random path in the sensor field to collect the data. There are two strategies for collecting the data which are push and pull strategy. The sink uses the pull strategy for collecting the data. In pull strategy [18], data can be forwarded from the node only when sink initiates a request to collect the data otherwise node waits until sink initiates. The maximum energy consumption ( $E_{max}$ ) will reduced in random sink mobility when compared with static sink. Even though single hop data collection reduces the energy consumption but it also results in incomplete data collection because there is no determined path in random mobility. Other important issue is the coverage time and energy dissipation. If multiple mobile sink moves randomly in a field, coverage time [19] gets reduced. A path coordination method in which sinks leaves the trail in its path. When other sinks come across this trail it will changes its path and reach other direction. This will increase the coverage of the network but this system will increase the overhead and additional energy dissipation.




Figure-2. WSN architecture with mobile sink.

**Fixed mobility:** In fixed mobility, sink follows a fixed path in a round robin manner. The path fixed is predetermined and it cannot be changed by the WSN in any situation. Hence the coverage can be achieved more by determining the routing path for data packets [20, 21] through fixed path. The most interesting feature of this mobility is whether the sink can able to predict its future position or not. This method can forward the data by means of pull strategy based on a request message by sink. Hence mobility path is fixed so the method can achieve the energy dissipation very low and also sink easily predict its future position.

**Controlled mobility:** In controlled mobility, mobility [22-23] of the sink is controlled by some parameter of interest such as residual energy, predefined events. In this mobility sink is placed in any mobile entity for example sink is placed in mobile robot or any moving vehicle. So the mobile entity is an integral part of the network and it can be controlled fully.



## ROUTING CHALLENGES AND DESIGN ISSUES IN WSNs

Variety of applications with different requirements and characteristics are found in the field of WSNs by modern research. Even though there are numerous applications of WSNs, some challenging factors are also there to design the network regarding the hardware and software design. While designing the routing protocol for the network some issues and challenges affect the routing process. Let us see some of the challenges that affect routing process in WSNs.

**Node deployment:** Deploying the node in WSNs vary according to the application. Hence it will affect the routing performance of the network. Node deployment can be deterministic or randomized. In case of deterministic deployment, routing path will be determined early and the sensors are deployed according to the predetermined path. But in random node deployment, the sensor nodes are placed randomly and provide its own infrastructure as an adhoc network. Due to the random placement of nodes, optimal clustering is needed to allow connectivity and enable energy efficient network operation.

**Energy consumption of node:** Sensor nodes use their energy supply for sensing the task and transmitting the data and also for the computation in a limited way. Also the lifetime of the network depends on the battery power of the sensor nodes. To achieve the efficient lifetime limited battery energy consumption is very essential.

**Data aggregation:** In the network the sensors are deployed densely, hence gathering of data from each node are related to other nodes. This decreases the size of the data transmitted and hence causes the energy consumption.

**Fault tolerance:** In WSNs the sensor nodes suffers failures. It may fail due to lack of battery supply or any damage and because of environmental conditions. In such case routing protocols must provide the new link between the nodes to reduce energy consumption and reroute the packets to the destination. Hence the design of routing protocol must be efficient so that the node failure should not affect the network operation.

**Quality of service:** In WSNs delivery of data should vary according to the applications. In some applications there will be a short period of time to deliver the data after it is sensed. In case of some other application small amount of delay will be acceptable with good efficiency. Also the network should capable to reduce its quality of results when the energy gets depleted in order to increase the network lifetime.

**Operating environment:** Because of numerous applications in WSNs, sensor network can be set up in various scenarios like home, battlefield for security purposes, in a large warehouse and also it is attached in animals and moving vehicles and also in forest for habitat. Also in hospital for patient monitoring. Hence routing protocol designed should able to route the data in any environment with energy consumption.

**Production costs:** Generally WSNs is made up of large number of sensor nodes placed in various

scenarios. Hence cost of the single node is the important factor. Cost of the single node will be low to manage the overall network cost as efficient.

## ROUTING PROTOCOLS FOR WSN USING MOBILE SINK

### 1) Communication protocol for multiple sink:

The novel sensor communication model and a novel protocol for multiple static sink [24] is proposed to support the remote users outside the sensor network and the mobile user inside the sensor network. The multiple static sink is placed at a location within the legacy network and divides a sensor field into more number of multiple sinks, so the sink can communicate with other nodes directly through legacy network. Because of the legacy networks, the sharing queries and data from the multiple static sinks provide high throughput while gathering the data and provides low latency by data delivery. The static sinks deliver the aggregated data to the remote users through legacy networks and mobile sink receives data from the static sink. It also solves hot spot problems and increases the network lifetime by reduced consumption energy. The advantage of this paper includes high data delivery ratio, low delay and reduces hotspot problem.

### 2) Delay tolerant mobile sink model (Dt-Msm):

A framework is designed for improving the network lifetime by making use of mobile sink and delay tolerance. This framework [25] is useful in the application that has a small amount of delay tolerance. Each node in the given delay tolerance level does not need to send the data as soon as it available. As an alternative it stores the data temporarily and transmits it when the sink is in favorable location. This helps to achieve the network lifetime.




Figure-3. Dt-Msm Architecture.

In Figure-2 N1 and N2 are two sensor nodes and L1 and L2 are the candidate stops of the mobile sink. The nodes N1 and N2 produce the data at 1 bps and each node have 100 units of energy primarily. If the sink is located at O in the SSM (static sink model), both nodes spend 4 units of energy for sending a bit of data. It is obvious that the optimal lifetime is 25 seconds. In the MSM (mobile sink model) with sink locations L1: L2, due to the symmetry of the structure, the sink stays at both L1 and L2 for the same amount of time to achieve the maximum lifetime. Each node spends 1 or 9 units of energy for sending 1 bit of data depending whether the sink is at L1 or L2. The average energy consumption per bit is 5 units. Thus, the lifetime is 20 seconds. In the DT-MSM, we assume that the sink alternates between the two stops stays for 1 second at each stop in each cycle. When the sink stays at L1, the node N1



only sends 2 bits of data to the sink; when the sink moves and reaches the L2; node N2 only transmits 2 bits of data. Hence both nodes spend 2 units of energy every 2 seconds or 1 unit of energy per second on average. Thus, the lifetime is 100 seconds, a significant increase compared to the ssm and msm.

Results show that framework has better quality than other models. Also it increases the lifetime gain of the network than others. Increase in the mobile sink increases the optimal network lifetime. The advantages are this framework is useful in the application which accepts the delay tolerance. Proposed system increase the lifetime of the network compared with other models and can be applied for both practical solutions and benchmark for analyzing the energy efficient protocol. No efficient algorithms to solve optimization problems are proposed. Also algorithm for finding the location or to stop the sink to collect the data is not discussed.

**3) Data-centric probing priority determination method for mobile sinks:** Increasing the network lifetime of the sensor node is achieved by many research methods. Track based methods and the Anchor points based methods are proposed for operating the sinks. But these methods decrease the Quality of the Service (QoS) and also produce the hotspot in the network. Because they use the static mobile path which does not consider the query position and the data priority. Hence the proposed method uses the novel mobile sink operation [26] which will reduce the existing problem, the probing priority of the mobile sink is determined with the data priorities for increasing the QoS and the mobile features are used for reducing the routing hotspot. Results show that the proposed method increases the lifetime and reduces hotspot when compared with the other methods.

**4) Distributed partition detection and recovery algorithm (PADRA):** Design of Distributed Partition Detection and Recovery Algorithm (PADRA) [27] a local, distributed, and movement- efficient protocol which handle the failure of any node. Connected Dominating Set (CDS) is the technique which is used to find the node failure which is responsible for the partitioning of network. CDS finds whether the node is cut vertex or not before failure happens. If the node is cut vertex, it ask the neighbor node to perform the failure recovery. The failure recovery is done by selecting the neighbor node and the selected node is replaced with failed node in a cascaded manner.




Figure-4. Illustration of PADRA.

In the Figure-3 Black nodes are dominators and white nodes are dominates. In case if node A2 fails the failure handler, A2 starts its recovery process. A3 is the failure handler of A2. So A3 replaces A2 and A5 replaces the A3 and A8 replaces the A5. This stops the node from failure and increases the lifetime.

PADRA is extended to MPADRA which will handle the multiple node failures in the network. The nodes that are present on the path closest to the dominate node are reserved before the replacements are performed. These nodes are reserved because disconnections and race conditions occur in the network when it is requested by both failure handlers. State diagram is maintained to eliminate the race condition. When the node is reserved the replacement can be done easily. Result shows that the PADRA performs closer to the optimal solutions in terms of travel distance and this minimizes the message complexity. The MPADRA needs the knowledge of 2 hops for each node and hence it can replace the node in distributed manner. This system will also minimize the message complexity and there is no need of whole network topology in MPADRA. But the system has Coverage and connectivity issues.

**5) Power-aware data dissemination protocol (PADD) protocol:** PADD [28] that constructs a grid structure for grid based WSNs with mobile sinks. Only the dissemination nodes located at grid points need to acquire forwarding information. The other sensor nodes just fall into sleep mode to conserve energy. PADD selects the sensor node with minimum cost as dissemination node to avoid repeatedly performing the selection of another new dissemination node. Besides, dissemination nodes with the most residual energy are selected to build a robust data dissemination path and to evenly distribute energy load in the sensor field. A data dissemination path is maintained by caching a Query Information Table (QIT) in each dissemination node, so that sensed data can be efficiently and successfully transmitted from the source to the sink. Simulation results show that the proposed PADD is more energy efficient and has longer network lifetime compared with TTDD.

**6) Energy-balanced routing protocol (EBRP):** EBRP is designed [29] by constructing a mixed virtual potential field taking depth, energy density, and residual energy into an account. EBRP is the data gathering algorithm and it does not look into data dissemination and point to point communication. It mainly focuses on moving the packets near to the sink using the dense area energy which will protect the nodes to maintain low residual energy. The proposed mechanism detects the routing loop problem and eliminate loop that emerging in the basic algorithm. Detection of loops can be done by validating the source addresses of the packets received and also by measuring the length of the local queue. Figure-4 shows the detection of loops in the high dense area.

Three types of loops can be detected in the high dense field namely one hop loop, origin loop, queue loop. One hop loop occurs between the parent and local node. In Figure-4 nodes in Area 3 selects each other as their parents and hence causes the one hop loop. This can be easily





detected by the source address of the header packet. Routing loop that involves with one or more sampling node causes the origin loop. In Fig.4 three nodes in Area 4 forms the origin loop. This can be detected by the origin address of the packet. Once the packet is received by the local node it will check the origin address of the received node, if address is same for two received nodes, the local node declares it as the origin loop. Queue loop is the special multihop loop chain and it relates with relaying node not with the sampling nodes. In Figure-4 nodes in Area 1 falling into the queue loop. This loop can be detected by drastic increase in the queue of the nodes in the chain.




Figure-5. Detection of loops.

After detecting a loop, EBRP protect the current parent and selects the new neighbor in the next hop node. Lack of efficient understanding of time varying potential field is main constraint in EBRP. The proposed method significantly improves the balanced energy consumption, network lifetime, and throughput. But there is no deal with data dissemination and point-to-point communication. Also lack of sufficient understanding about the dynamics of time-varying potential field.

**7) Mobicluster:** This protocol [30] uses urban buses as Mobile Sink (MS) to retrieve information from isolated parts of WSNs. Main objective of the MobiCluster is to employ the MS for collecting the data from the isolated urban sensor islands. The objective also includes prolonging the lifetime of the selected peripheral rendezvous nodes (RN) that is present on the passing range of MS and these nodes are used to deliver sensory data from remote source nodes. MobiCluster focus mainly to achieve the maximum connectivity, data throughput, and also balanced energy expenditure among sensor nodes.




Figure-6. Mobicluster architecture.

By regulating the number of RN to deliver their buffered data in an adequate time will increase the data

throughput and also prevents the data loss. Mobi Cluster gives the balanced energy consumption across the WSN by its cluster structure that provides the high redundancy of data that is collected from the neighbor nodes and also minimize inter cluster data overhead when compared with other approaches. The performance gain of MobiCluster has been validated by extensive simulation tests and results shows that Mobi cluster gives maximum data throughput and energy when compared with other methods.

**8) Virtual line based data dissemination (VLDD):** VLDD is the energy efficient [31] and reliable data dissemination protocol mainly focus on energy efficient and reliable data delivery. In VLDD instead of flooding Virtual Line Structure (VLS) is used for data storage in the specified region. Each source stores its data in the VLS and from VLS sink collects data required for it in its location when it needs. It uses both individual and group mobility scheme to support the mobility of the group sinks. The system avoid overhead occur due to flooding and also decreases the energy consumption although increase in large group region. But VLS is constructed every time when sink moves to new location. This increase the communication overhead.

**9) Distributed mobile sink routing protocols:** A survey of the existing distributed mobile sink routing protocols are discussed [32]. The advantages and drawbacks of the protocols and the requirements needed for the design of routing protocols are also discussed. Each determined classes of protocols have different benefits which act as a motivation for new solutions. In hierarchical approach, a virtual structure is used which is the important one and this serves as a rendezvous region for the sink advertisement and data packets. It also reduces the overhead of the sink advertisement by confining it to a subset of the network, but the high-tier nodes constituting the structure are become hotspots because they carry more traffic. Virtual structures like Grids, clusters [33-35] and backbones have high accessibility because they have uniform distributed structure which will cover the whole network, but the hotspot mitigation strategy requires more effort because high overhead happens while modifying these complex and dense structures. Non-hierarchical approaches [36-39] also have both the benefits and the drawbacks of a virtual hierarchy and also the non-hierarchical approaches are able to operate without any location finding sensors since the employed mechanisms rarely rely on a geographic coordinate space. But the non-hierarchical approaches are usually inefficient or costly

**10) mobile sink based obstacle avoidance routing protocol:** This proposed to monitor the environment of the smart homes [40]. In recent times sink mobility place vital role in smart environment. The sensor node which is deployed on the smart environment will detect the obstacles that are present by using the infra red signal coupling and also improve the transmission of data to the mobile sink using ZigBee. Moreover, the mobile sink implements obstacle avoidance to find the shortest path to collect data from static deployed sensor nodes. The results obtained from the research shows that the network



lifetime can be increased 30% when compared to the previous static sink based data collection schemes. This implementation is mainly designed to work with the intelligent pervasive consumer products and services that include robotic vacuum cleaners and personal security robots.

**11) MSREEDG and ERMMSDG:** Mobile Sink Based Reliable and Energy Efficient Data Gathering technique (MSREEDG) for single mobile sink and Efficient Routing Protocol [41] for Multiple Mobile Sink Based Data Gathering (ERMMSDG) technique for multiple mobile sink are proposed for efficient data transmission. The main differences between the two protocols are the usage of routing protocol by multiple mobile sink. The next position of the sink is determined by the biased random walk method [42]. The optimal data transmission path is selected by means of rendezvous point selection with splitting tree technique. If the sink moves inside the rendezvous point it collects the received data from the source otherwise it selects the relay node from the neighbours to relay packets from the rendezvous point. In second case sink acts as a vehicle and collect the data from sensor. The system supports sink mobility with low overhead and delay with increase in reliability and delivery ratio. Also sensor transmits the data to the sink by encoding it using RS coding technique. The sink decodes the received data to get the original bundle of data. Pause time between the data depends on the node density and received encoded data. This will improve the performance of the nodes. Some advantages of the proposed are it Increase in reliability also increases the energy efficiency and reduces the signal overhead. Triangular routing problem is the important issue of the proposed system.

**12) Virtual grid based dynamic routes adjustment (VGDR):** A novel Virtual Grid based Dynamic Routes Adjustment (VGDR) scheme that will decrease the communication cost by maintaining the nearly best possible routes to the current location of the mobile sink is proposed [43]. In VGDR scheme partition of the sensor field into a virtual grid and also the construction of virtual backbone structure which contains the cell header nodes are done. The mobile sink communicates with the closest border-line cell header while crossing the sensor field for collecting the data and changes its location frequently. Route reconstruction process will take place by limited number of cell headers by using a set of communication rules, which will reduce

the overall communication cost and also increases the energy efficiency by route reconstruction. But the node selected as cell header drains the network and break the transmission.

**13) FACT:** Based on the survey done in the routing, security and trust systems mechanisms of the vehicular networks and ad hoc networks two layer trust based information dissemination framework called FACT is proposed according to their application needs[44]. FACT will support the broadcast, multicast, and unicast communication in vehicular networks. FACT will maintain a list which contains the trusted list of neighborhoods to check the trust worth of the message. After receiving message from the trusted nodes it will select the best routing path to carry the message. This will increase the scalability of the protocol and also effective communication cost. FACT supports the delivery of message in a safe path in a short period of time with increase in the reliability of the network. FACT is an application framework so designers can incorporate their own routing scheme and transmit the data in a safe way.

**14) Adaptive routing:** An adaptive scheme is proposed to increase the efficiency of the nodes that are present in the network [45]. The main idea behind the scheme is to change the routing strategy adaptively based on the quality of the channel. Then the mathematical analysis of the adaptive protocol is done by using the medium access procedures of the IEEE 802.15.6 standard and also the analytical model is determined through simulations. When compared with other existing scheme, adaptive scheme provides 54% reduction in energy cost information bit. The investigation of BSN nodes which supports the activity recognition models [46] and the methodology which supports the model is designed and proposed. The methodology designed uses the randomized trees based on the collaborative training strategy. In the collaborative training phase, each nodes share their data and their models according to the randomized trees to the other nodes of the network. The recognition performance is based on the junk. The methodology uses three datasets with multiple sensor nodes. The node is replaced by a new node in the same position or a node is replaced by a new node from new unknown position. The evaluation can be done using the k-folds cross validation protocols with different amount of junk data for each node. The minimum of 17% junk data and maximum of 80% is used for evaluation.

**Table-1.** Routing protocols for WSN using mobile sink.

References	Scheme/Algorithm	Main features	Remarks
[24]	Communication Protocol for Multiple Sink	support the remote users outside the sensor network and the mobile user inside the sensor network	Due to the sharing queries and data from the multiple static sinks provide high throughput while gathering the data and provides low latency by data delivery
[25]	Delay Tolerant Mobile Sink Model (DT-MSM)	useful in the application that has a small amount of delay tolerance	Stores the data temporarily and transmits it when the sink is in favorable location and increases the network lifetime.
[26]	Data-Centric Probing Priority Determination Method for Mobile Sinks	Uses the probing priority of the mobile sink	probing priority of the mobile sink increases the QoS and the mobile features reduces the routing hotspot
[27]	Distributed Partition Detection and Recovery Algorithm (PADRA)	Designed to handle the failure of the node	Connected Dominating Set (CDS) is the technique which is used to find the node failure and CDS find the node is cut vertex or not. If it is cut vertex it will make the failure handling
[28]	Power-aware data dissemination protocol (PADD) protocol	constructs a grid structure for grid based WSNs with mobile sinks	1. Dissemination nodes located at grid points need to acquire forwarding information. The other sensor nodes just fall into sleep mode to conserve energy 2. Uses Query Information Table (QIT) for data transmission.
[29]	Energy-Balanced Routing Protocol (EBRP)	Constructs a mixed virtual potential field by taking depth, energy density, and residual energy	Detects the routing loop problem and eliminate loop that emerging in the basic algorithm. Detection of loops can be done by validating the source addresses of the packets received and also by measuring the length of the local queue
[30]	MobiCluster	Uses urban buses as Mobile Sink (MS) to retrieve information from isolated parts of WSNs	1. Employ the MS for collecting the data from the isolated urban sensor islands. 2. Achieve the maximum connectivity, data throughput, and also balanced energy expenditure among sensor nodes
[31]	Virtual Line based Data Dissemination (VLDD)	Virtual Line Structure (VLS) is used for data storage in the specified region	1. Each source stores its data in the VLS and from VLS sink collects data required for it in its location when it needs. 2. Avoid overhead occur due to flooding
[32]	Distributed Mobile Sink Routing Protocols	Two types of approach are there. Hierarchical approach and non Hierarchical approach	1. Hierarchical approach, a virtual structure is used which is the important one and this serves as a rendezvous region for the sink advertisement and data packets 2. The Non-Hierarchical approaches are able to operate without any location finding sensors
[40]	Mobile Sink Based Obstacle Avoidance Routing Protocol	Monitor the environment of the smart homes	Sensor node which is deployed on the smart environment will detect the obstacles that are present by using the infra red signal coupling and also improve the transmission of data to the mobile sink using ZigBee
[41]	MSREEDG and ERMMSDG	1. Biased random walk method 2. RS Coding Technique	1. Position of the sink is determined by the biased random walk method. 2. Optimal data transmission path is selected by means of rendezvous point selection with splitting tree technique 3. Sensor transmits the data to the sink by encoding it using RS coding technique
[43]	Virtual Grid based Dynamic Routes Adjustment (VGDR)	Decreases the communication cost by maintaining the nearly best possible routes	Partition of the sensor field into a virtual grid and also the construction of virtual backbone structure which contains the cell header nodes is done Route reconstruction process will take place by limited number of cell headers
[44]	FACT	Support the broadcast, multicast, and unicast communication in vehicular networks.	1. Maintain a list which contains the trusted list of neighborhoods to check the trust worth of the message 2. Supports the delivery of message in a safe path in a short period of time with increase in the reliability of the network
[45], [46]	Adaptive Routing	change the routing strategy adaptively based on the quality of the channel	uses the randomized trees based on the collaborative training strategy



## PROTOCOLS FOR SINK RELOCATION

**1) Particle swarm optimization:** In Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) maximizing the network lifetime is the fundamental issue due to the limited battery power of the sensor node in the network. To overcome this challenge, many techniques like network protocols, some data fusion algorithm mainly focuses on low power, energy efficient routing and locating optimal sink position is developed over few years. The main focus is on the optimal sink relocation. Introduction of relay nodes in conjunction with sensor nodes moderate the geometric deficiencies of the network because the sensor nodes near to the sink involves in data forwarding in more times makes their battery to get depleted quickly. A particle swarm optimization (PSO) [47] algorithm is used to locate the optimal sink position with respect to the relay node makes the network more efficient. PSO uses the relay node to communicate with the sink instead of sensor nodes, which saves the battery power of each sensor node. This algorithm is based on the social behavior of bird flocking. It uses initializing population of random solutions and it searches for the optima by updating the generation. In PSO [48] each solution is consider as a particle and PSO uses several particles to represent a solution and searches the best particle position according to the given fitness function. The main advantage of the proposed system is it uses the relay node which solves the connectivity issue. Also increases the lifetime without any damage in the network. No efficient routing protocol is discussed.

**2) Hybrid sink relocation model:** Increasing the network lifetime of the sensor node is achieved by many research methods. In case of mobile sink there are the track based methods and the anchor points based methods for operating the sinks. The static mobile path does not consider the query position and the data priority decreases the Quality of the Service (QoS) of the network and also produces the hotspot in the network. The novel approach explains the collection of data by relocating the hybrid sinks using the scheduling strategy [49]. The proposed method collects the data from sensor node by using both stationary and mobile sink sensor nodes. The separation of nodes depends on the power and packet ratio. The nodes with low power and high packet production rate are defined as "vital" node and the nodes having high power and low packet rate are defined as "non-vital" nodes. Data from the vital node is collected by the stationary nodes. The group of forwarding nodes is selected based on their power and data from the vital node is send to the stationary node through the forward node. The data from the non vital node is collected by the moving sink. Power efficient algorithm is used to relocate the sink and TDMA is used as a Mac layer protocol states which results in the sinking of the state transitions and the energy utilization. The proposed system increases the overall energy consumption and extends the lifetime of sensors and the whole network.

**3) EASR protocol:** In wireless sensor network depletion speed of battery energy of each sensor node will

affect the network lifetime significantly. So many researchers designed the energy-aware routings which will conserve the usage of the battery energy to prolong network lifetimes [50]. A relocatable sink is an approach which wills increases the network lifetime by changing its location frequently without staying at same location for long time which may harm the lifetime of nearby sensor nodes. This approach not only solves the problem of the hot-spot, but can also integrate the energy-aware routing to enhance the performance of the prolonging network lifetime. In this paper, an energy-aware sink relocation method (EASR) is proposed which adopts the energy-aware routing maximum capacity path (MCP) as the underlying routing method for message relaying.




Figure-7. Maximum capacity path(1).





Figure-8. Maximum capacity path (2).

The Figure-7, Figure-8, Figure-9 explains the MCP [51] algorithm. The fig 7.shows WSN and the residual battery energy of the sensor node is defined by using the capacity graph  $G = (V, E)$ , where set  $V$  denotes the collection of sensor nodes and  $E$  denotes communication path between the sensor nodes. all And let  $r: V \rightarrow R^+$  be the residual battery energy function to represent residual battery energy of each sensor node. In fig 8. Layering graph  $G$  is converted into a layered network  $N$  using the condition  $u = uv + 1$ . That is level of the node should satisfy the above condition. For example  $LA = LI = 1$  and  $LD = LF = 4$ , then edges  $(A, I)$  and  $(D, F)$  will be deleted from  $G$ . Then the layered network  $N$  obtained from  $G$  is a directed graph In Fig 9. Maximum capacity path for data transmission is decided.





**Figure-9.** Maximum capacity path(3).

If node E wants to transfer the data to sink the maximum capacity path is E-D-C-B-A-S. Although the path E-D-C-B-I-S is also available for data transmission but its capacity is very less when compared with other path. The proposed mechanism uses information about the residual battery energy of sensor nodes and adaptively adjusts the transmitting range of each sensor nodes and the mechanism to relocating the sink. The proposed system solves the hotspot problem and Prolong the lifetime by relocating the sink before it reaches the failure but it has the time complexity.

After calculating the maximum capacity path the sink will relocates its position if the residual energy of the node is low. Before relocating it will check the two condition whether the sink relocation condition is met or not and secondly it checks the direction of the sink and the distance of the sink from other sensor nodes. For relocating, sink it check the residual battery energy and weight of the each node and selects the node with high residual energy and weight as the next sink and informs to all other sensor nodes by updating its routing table. Then the procedure will be continued until the node with higher energy is selected as sink.

**4) Bio inspired digital hormone model:** During the data transmission in wireless sensor network (WSNs), the nodes which are closer to the sink use their energy more and quickly when compared with other nodes. So there will be energy imbalance between the sensor nodes in the network leads to connectivity holes and a coverage hole which causes the network failure. This problem can be tackled by means of technique called optimal relocation of the mobile sink which will balance the load between the sensors. Relocation [52] is achieved by using the bio-inspired digital hormone model. This model guides the sink nodes to move towards the optimal location which will increase the network lifetime and reduces the energy imbalance. Results obtained from the simulation shows that the proposed model increases the lifetime better than the other models. In future the proposed model not only increases the lifetime but also increases the performance of the network such as latency, bandwidth, and end to end delay.

### 5) Adaptive tree structure sink relocation

**model:** In this model lifetime of the network is prolonged by means of constructing the adaptive tree structure [53] and relocating the sink. The residual battery energy of each sensor node is taken into account for relocating the sink and reconstructing the routing tree. The moving decision of the sink is done based on three stages such as initial stage, tree construction stage and sink relocation stage. In initial stage node i from the network is placed in random location as a leaf node and dynamic tree structure is constructed. The sensor node discovers its neighbours by neighbor discovery process and sink broadcast its current position to the sensor node. In tree construction stage, after receiving the message the node i select its neighbor and forward the data towards its parent node. The parent node combines its data with the received data and passes it to the root node. The root node will be responsible to transfer the data to the sink node. These data contain the residual energy of each node along its delivery path. In sink relocation stage sink finds the energy and location of the sensor node from data packets and chooses the other node as leaf node when the residual energy of the leaf node exceeds the current node threshold. Also when the sink moves to the new location, its location information and neighbor list will be updated.

**6) Sink repositioning technique:** In multihop communication some sensor node face more traffic than other nodes and this causes energy imbalance in the network. This problem is overcome by implementing techniques where more sensors are placed around the sink. However this causes unbalanced sensor coverage in the network. To overcome all these problems sink repositioning technique can be implemented [54]. This technique provides relocation of sink in an unrestricted traffic. In the network if any adverse situation is determined, the sink can reposition its location from its current location. Sink finds the node with heavy traffic load in the network and decides its optimal position. Sink finds its location by determining the total power transmission of previous and next sink positions in the network. It evaluates the overall gain of the power transmission. If the overall gain exceeds the threshold value sink reposition its location to the new position.

While moving to the next position sink will check the range of the sensor nodes. If the sensor node is in one hop distance it will adjust its transmission power to receive message from the sink. If the sink reposition its location out of transmission range it will look for the new sensor nodes to collect the data. Such node will be in a one hop count to the sink and should have the high energy. Sensor node with high energy is selected for next transmission and the sink updates the routing table and transmits it to the other nodes. This will increase the lifetime of the network but delay will be there in the network.

**Table 2.** Protocols for sink relocation.

References	Scheme/ Algorithm	Main features	Remarks
[47]	Particle Swarm Optimization	optimal sink relocation	Uses the relay node to communicate with the sink instead of sensor nodes, which saves the battery power of each sensor node. This algorithm is based on the social behavior of bird flocking
[49]	Hybrid Sink Relocation Model	collects the data from sensor node by using both stationary and mobile sink sensor nodes	1. Nodes with low power and high packet production rate are defined as "vital" node and the nodes having high power and low packet rate are defined as "non-vital" nodes 2. Efficient algorithm is used to relocate the sink
[50]	EASR Protocol	1. Uses the Maximum Capacity Path (MCP) 2. Energy Aware Sink Relocation (EASR) for relocating the sink.	will increase the network lifetime by changing its location frequently without staying at same location for long time
[52]	Bio Inspired Digital Hormone Model	Relocation is achieved by using the bio-inspired digital hormone model	Tackles the connectivity and coverage hole problem and reduces the energy imbalance in the network
[53]	Adaptive Tree Structure sink relocation model	Lifetime of the network is prolonged by constructing the adaptive tree structure	The residual battery energy of each sensor node is taken into account for relocating the sink and reconstructing the routing tree
[54]	Sink Repositioning Techniques	Provides Relocation of sink in an unrestricted traffic	Sink finds its location by determining the total power transmission of previous and next sink positions in the network

## CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a comprehensive survey of different mobile sink relocation techniques for increasing the lifetime of the wireless sensor network was carried out. Also different energy efficient routing protocols for mobile sinks are discussed. Much work still needs to be done in this field to achieve high performance by increasing the lifetime and finding the efficient transmission for data transfer in WSNs.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Jaichandran, A. Irudhayaraj and J. Raja. 2010. Effective Strategies and Optimal Solutions for Hot Spot Problem in Wireless Sensor Networks (Wsn). In Information Sciences Signal Processing and Their Applications (Isspa), 2010 10<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. On. pp. 389-392.
- [2] S. Olariu and I. Stojmenovi. 2006. Design Guidelines For Maximizing Lifetime and Avoiding Energy Holes In Sensor Networks With Uniform Distribution And Uniform Reporting. In IEEE Infocom. Society Press. pp. 1-12.
- [3] J. Luo and J.-P. Hubaux. 2005. Joint Mobility And Routing For Lifetime Elongation In Wireless Sensor Networks. In Infocom 2005. 24<sup>th</sup> Annu. Joint Conf. Of The IEEE Computer and Communications Societies. Proc. IEEE. 3: 1735-1746.
- [4] Z. Wang, S. Basagni, E. Melachrinoudis, and C. Petrioli. 2005. Exploiting Sink Mobility for Maximizing Sensor Networks Lifetime. In: Proc. Of The 38<sup>th</sup> Annu. Hawaii Int. Conf. On System Sciences (Hicss '05). p. 287.
- [5] N. Grammalidis, E. Cetin, K. Dimitropoulos, F. Tsalakanidou, K. Kose, O. Gunay, B. Gouverneur, E. K. D. Torri, S. Tozzi, A. Benazza, F. Chaabane, B. Kosucu, And C. Ersoy. 2011. A Multi-Sensor Network For the Protection of Cultural Heritage. In 19<sup>th</sup> European Signal Processing Conf. (Eusipco 2011), Special Session On Signal Processing For Disaster Management And Prevention.
- [6] Y. Yun and Y. Xia. 2010. Maximizing the Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks with Mobile Sink in Delay-Tolerant Applications. IEEE Trans. Mobile Computing. 9(9): 1308-1318.
- [7] E. Hamida and G. Chelius. 2008. Strategies For Data Dissemination To Mobile Sinks In Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE Wireless Commun. 15(6): 31-37.



- [8] Chatzigiannakis, A. Kinalis and S. Nikolettseas. 2008. Efficient Data Propagation Strategies in Wireless Sensor Networks Using a Single Mobile Sink. *Computer Communications*. 31(5): 896-914.
- [9] E. Lee, S. Park, F. Yu, S. Kim. 2010. Communication model and protocol based on multiple static sinks for supporting mobile users in wireless sensor networks, *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*. 56, 1652-1660.
- [10] E. Lee, S. Park, J. Lee, S. Oh, S. Kim. 2011. Novel service protocol for supporting remote and mobile users in wireless sensor networks with multiple static sinks, *Wireless Networks*. 17, 861-875.
- [11] Z. Vincze, R. Vida, A. Vidács. 2007. Deploying multiple sinks in multi-hop wireless sensor networks, in: *Proceedings of ICPS IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Services*, Istanbul, Turkey. pp. 55-63.
- [12] C. Avin, B. Krishnamachari. 2006. The power of choice in random walks: an empirical study, in: *Proceedings of the 9th ACM international symposium on Modeling analysis and simulation of wireless and mobile systems (MSWiM '06)*, New York, NY, USA. pp. 219-228.
- [13] M.J. Handy, M. Haase, D. Timmermann. 2002. Low energy adaptive clustering hierarchy with deterministic cluster-head selection, in: *Proceeding of 4<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Mobile and Wireless Communications, Network*. pp. 368-372.
- [14] S.V. Manisekaran, R. Venkatesan. 2010. Energy efficient hierarchical clustering for sensor networks, in: *Proceedings of international conference on computing communication and networking technologies*. pp. 1-11.
- [15] P.T.A. Quang, N.Q. Dinh, J. Yun, D. Kim. 2011. Optimal clustering for wireless sensor networks using intermediate nodes, in: *Proceedings of IEEE 3rd International Conference on Communication Software and Networks (ICCSN)*. pp. 138-142.
- [16] A.R. Masoum, A.H. Jahangir, Z. Taghikhani, R. Azarderakhsh. 2008. A new multi level clustering model to increase lifetime in wireless sensor networks, in: *Proceedings of the second International Conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications*. pp. 185-190.
- [17] G.S. Tomar, S. Verma. 2009. Dynamic multi-level hierarchal clustering approach for wireless sensor networks, in: *Proceedings of 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Computer Modelling and Simulation*. pp. 563-567.
- [18] J.N. Al-Karaki, R. Ul-Mustafa, A.E. Kamal. 2004. Data aggregation in wireless sensor networks - exact and approximate algorithms, in: *Proceedings of IEEE Workshop on High Performance Switching and Routing (HPSR)*, Phoenix, Arizona, USA. pp. 241-245.
- [19] A.M. Glass, R.L. Brewster, N.K. Abdulaziz. 1998. Modelling of CSMA/CA protocol by simulation, *IEEE Electronic Letters*. 24, 692-694.
- [20] I. Chatzigiannakis, A. Kinalis, S. Nikolettseas. 2006. Sink mobility protocols for data collection in wireless sensor networks, in: *Proceedings of the international Workshop on Mobility Management and Wireless Access, MobiWac '06*, Terromolinos, Spain. pp. 52-59.
- [21] M. Marta, M. Cardei. 2009. Improved sensor network lifetime with multiple mobile sinks *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*. 5, 542-555.
- [22] F. Yu, E. Lee, S. Park, S. Kim. 2010. A simple location propagation scheme for mobile sink in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*. 14, 321-323.
- [23] J. Yu, E. Jeong, G. Jeon, D. Seo, K. Park. 2011. A dynamic multiagent-based local update strategy for mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks, in: *Proceeding of international conference on computational science and applications*. pp. 185-196.
- [24] Euisin Lee, Soochang Park, Fucai Yu and Sang-Ha Kim, Member, IEEE. 2010. Communication Model and Protocol Based On Multiple Static Sinks for Supporting Mobile Users in Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*. 56(3).
- [25] Youngsang Yun, Student Member, IEEE, And Ye Xia, Member IEEE. 2010. Maximizing the Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks with Mobile Sink in Delay-Tolerant applications. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*. 9(9).
- [26] Dong-Ook Seong, Ji-Hee Lee, Myung-Ho Yeo, Jae-Soo Yoo. 2010. An Energy Efficient Data-Centric



- Probing Priority Determination Method For Mobile Sinks in Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE International Conference on Sensor Networks, Ubiquitous and Trustworthy Computing.
- [27] Kemal Akkaya, Member, Ieee, Fatih Senel, Aravind Thimmapuram, And Suleyman Uludag, Member, IEEE. 2010. Distributed Recovery From Network Partitioning In Movable Sensor/Actor Networks Via Controlled Mobility. IEEE Transactions on Computers. 59(2).
- [28] N.-C. Wang Y.-K. Chiang. 2011. Power-Aware Data Dissemination Protocol for Grid based Wireless Sensor Networks with Mobile Sinks. IET Communication. 5(18): 2684-2691.
- [29] Fengyuan Ren, Member, Ieee, Jiao Zhang, Tao He, Chuang Lin, Senior Member, IEEE and Sajal K. Das, Senior Member, IEEE. 2011. Ebrp: Energy-Balanced Routing Protocol for Data Gathering In Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems. 22(12).
- [30] Charalampos Konstantopoulos, Grammati Pantziou, Damianos Gavalas, Aristides Mpitziopoulos, and Basilis Mamalis. 2012. A Rendezvous-Based Approach Enabling Energy-Efficient Sensory Data Collection with Mobile Sinks. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems. 23(5).
- [31] Hee-Sook Mo, Euisin Lee, Soochang Park and Sang-Ha Kim, Member, IEEE. 2013. Virtual Line-Based Data Dissemination for Mobile Sink Groups In Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE Communications Letters. 17(9).
- [32] Can Tunca, Sinan Isik, M. Yunus Donmez, And Cem Ersoy, Senior Member, IEEE. 2014. Distributed Mobile Sink Routing For Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey. IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials, Vol. 16, No. 2, Second Quarter 2014
- [33] H. Luo, F. Ye, J. Cheng, S. Lu, and L. Zhang. 2005. TTDD: Two-tier data dissemination in large-scale wireless sensor networks. Wireless Networks. 11: 161-175.
- [34] C.-C. Shen, C. Srisathapornphat, and C. Jaikao. 2001. Sensor information networking architecture and applications. IEEE Pers. Commun. 8(4): 52-59.
- [35] K. Kweon, H. Ghim, J. Hong, and H. Yoon. 2009. Grid-based energy-efficient routing from multiple sources to multiple mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks. in Wireless Pervasive Computing, 2009. ISWPC 2009. 4th Int. Symp. on. pp. 1-5.
- [36] F. Ye, G. Zhong, S. Lu and L. Zhang. 2005. Gradient broadcast: A robust data delivery protocol for large scale sensor networks. Wireless Networks. 11: 285-298.
- [37] K. Fodor and A. Vid'acs. 2007. Efficient routing to mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks. in Proc. 3rd int. conf. on Wireless internet, ser. WICON '07. ICST, Brussels, Belgium, Belgium: ICST (Institute for Computer Sciences, Social-Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering). pp. 1-7.
- [38] R. Wohlers, N. Trigoni, R. Zhang, and S. Ellwood. 2009. Twin Route: Energy efficient data collection in fixed sensor networks with mobile sinks. in Mobile Data Management: Systems, Services and Middleware, 2009. MDM '09. 10th Int. Conf. on. pp. 192-201.
- [39] G. Wang, T. Wang, W. Jia, M. Guo and J. Li. 2009. Adaptive location updates for mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks. The Journal of Super computing. 47: 127-145.
- [40] Prasenjit Chanak, Student Member, Ieee, Indrajit Banerjee, Member, Ieee, Jin Wang, Member, Ieee, And R. Simon Sherratt, Fellow, IEEE. 2014. Obstacle Avoidance Routing Scheme through Optimal Sink Movement for Home Monitoring and Mobile Robotic Consumer Devices. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics. 60(4).
- [41] Madhumathy P\*, Sivakumar D. 2014. Enabling Energy Efficient Sensory Data Collection Using Multiple Mobile Sink. IEEE Transaction, China Communications.
- [42] Jae-Wan Kim, Jeong-Sik In, Kyeong Hur, Jinwoo Kim and Doo-Seop Eom. 2010. An Intelligent Agent-Based Routing Structure For Mobile Sinks In Wsns. IEEE Transactions On Consumer Electronics. 56(4). 2010.
- [43] Abdul Waheed Khan, Abdul Hanan Abdullah, Member, IEEE, Mohammad Abdur Razzaque, Member, IEEE and Javed Iqbal Bangash. 2015. Vgdra: A Virtual Grid-Based Dynamic Routes Adjustment Scheme for Mobile Sink-Based Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE Sensors Journal. 15(1).





- [44] Karim Rostamzadeh, Student Member, Ieee, Hasen Nicanfar, Student Member, IEEE, Narjes Torabi, Student Member, IEEE, Sathish Gopalakrishnan, Member, IEEE and Victor C. M. Leung, Fellow, IEEE. 2015. A Context-Aware Trust-Based Information Dissemination Framework for Vehicular Networks. IEEE Internet of Things Journal. 2(2).
- [45] Arash Maskooki, Cheong Boon Soh, Erry Gunawan, And Kay Soon Low. 2015. Adaptive Routing For Dynamic On-Body Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics. 19(2).
- [46] Pierluigi Casale, Member, Ieee, Marco Altini, And Oliver Amft, Member, IEEE. 2015. Transfer Learning In Body Sensor Networks Using Ensembles of Randomized Trees. IEEE Internet of Things Journal. 2(1).
- [47] Md Nafees Rahman, M A Matin. 2011. Efficient Algorithm for Prolonging Network Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks. Tsinghua Science and Technology Issn11007-02141101/1211pp561-568 16(6).
- [48] Kennedy J, Eberhart R C. 1995. Particle swarm optimization. In: IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks. Perth, Australia. 1942-1948.
- [49] Ms. Prerana Shrivastava, Dr. S.B Pokle, Dr. S.S. Dorle. 2013. A Hybrid Sink Relocation Model for Data Gathering In Wireless Sensor Networks. 6<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering and Technology.
- [50] Chu-Fu Wang, Jau-Der Shih, Bo-Han Pan and Tin-Yu Wu. 2014. A Network Lifetime Enhancement Method for Sink Relocation and Its Analysis in Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE Sensors Journal. 14(6).
- [51] S. C. Huang and R. H. Jan. 2004. Energy-aware, load balanced routing schemes for sensor networks. in Proc. 10th Int. Conf. Parallel Distrib. Syst. pp. 419-425.
- [52] Sumit Kataria, Aarti Jain. 2013. Bio Inspired Optimal Relocation of Mobile Sink Nodes in Wireless Sensor Networks. Emerging Trends In Communication, Control, Signal Processing and Computing Application IEEE International Conference. pp. 1-6.
- [53] S. Sivakumar, S. Diwakaran. 2014. An Energy Efficient Routing Technique to Improve the Performance of Wireless Sensor Network through Adaptive Tree Based Sink Relocation. IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research. pp. 1-5.
- [54] Prerana Shrivastava and S. B. Pokle. 2012. Sink Repositioning Technique to Improve the Performance of the Wireless Sensor Networks. International Journal of Future Computer and Communication. 1(4).