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ABSTRACT

Recent trends reveals that in order to operate the modern transmission and distribution power system networks with maximum reliability and security, a power system operator must have the knowledge of the voltage stability margin. It has become a challenging task to accomplish fast and accurate indications of voltage stability margin in power systems. In this paper a new voltage stability indicator has been proposed considering the Line charging susceptance based on unique equivalent Two - Bus π network system applying the fundamental Kirchoff - Laws. With the help of this proposed indicator, an operator can efficiently and quickly detect the proximity of a voltage collapse for a multi-bus system. This voltage stability indicator is defined as Transmission Line Voltage Stability Indicator (TLVSI) for online monitoring of a system. The proposed indicator has been incorporated on the IEEE 5-bus and IEEE.14-bus system considering the several power system topology and many contingency scenarios. This voltage stability indicator can also be used to find the effect of change of active load on the system and its relation with the receiving end voltage and the transmission line critical voltage to detect the most vulnerable spots of the system.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In last few years the scenario of modern restructured power systems reveals that the problems related to voltage stability has become a serious threat and highly complex related to planning and operations of power systems. Major system blackouts mostly occurs due to expanding of power system to accommodate the rapid load growths by constructing new power plants, transmission lines, substations, and control devices. Voltage collapse is most likely to occur when a power system is operated near its capacity limits.

Operating within operational design limits makes power system more protected and secure. Mostly the power systems operate close to their capacity limits so it makes us necessary to have a proper analysis of the system and to implement a reliable method to have an accurate and successful identification of probable voltage collapse before their occurrences (F.A. Althowibi, 2013 and Kabir Chakraborty).

The main factor causing instability is the inability of the power system to meet the demand for reactive power. When a disturbance increases in load demand a power system becomes unable to maintain steady state voltages leads to voltage instability in the buses. Due to limited economical, resources, environmental, technical and political restrictions, some electric utilities are forced at such level from expansion of power generation and transmission even though the power demand has increased substantially. As a result of which some of the transmission lines gets heavily loaded or stressed and causes voltage instability i.e uncontrollable or abnormal fall of voltage. In modern power scenario due to frequent incidence of blackouts, power system researchers has now given much attention to establish various new techniques to find the voltage stability margins prior to voltage collapse (O.P. Rahi, 2012).

Analysis of voltage stability is done conventionally by two approaches i.e. static and dynamic (Kundur P. 1994 and Hadi Sadat, 2004). Static approach is done by steady-state load flow analysis which is done with the help of voltage stability indicators. These indicators reveals the critical bus of a power system or how far is the actual system’s operating point is from the voltage stability limit (Partha Kayal, 2012 Claudia Reis, 2006 S.Perez-Londono,2014 Garng M.Huang). Another method involves the analysis of Eigenvalue-Eigenvector of the power system Jacobian Matrix for the evaluation of the voltage stability of the power system (Nayan N,2014 Dr. Enemuo F.O, 2013).

Apart from all these it is also observed that for the last few years researchers have shown their interest in Network Reconfiguration Method on Distribution system for improvement of voltage stability (Sahar Aslanzadeh, 2014). In this paper the concept of reduction of any multi-bus power system into an equivalent two - bus system has been utilized to have a quick overview of the power transmission system voltage stability (Nagendra Palukuru, 2014 C.K.Chanda,2004 Nagendra P. Datta, 2010).

Based on the above mentioned literature survey done, this paper proposes a new and more effective methodology for the assessment of voltage collapse point. The proposed method involves the π model of an equivalent two-bus network for any multi-bus network of a power system considering the effect of line charging susceptance through shunt capacitor during power transmission. Shunt capacitors are the capacitors connected in parallel with the lines. They are installed near the load terminals, in receiving end sub-stations. Shunt
capacitors are used to improve the power factor. The improved power factor reduces the KVA drawn from the supply. The power losses are reduced and the efficiency is increased. Thus, shunt capacitors regulate the voltage and reactive power flows at the point where they are installed (Ashfaq Husain).

**Notation**

The notation used throughout the paper is stated below.

- $V_g$ = slack bus voltage
- $V_l$ = power transmission receiving end voltage
- $V_{tcl}$ = power transmission critical voltage
- $S_g$ = generated power of slack bus
- $S_l$ = generated power of load bus
- $P_g$ = generated active power of slack bus
- $P_l$ = generated active power of load bus
- $Z_{eq}$ = equivalent line impedance
- $I_{ss}$ = shunt branch current at the sending end
- $I_{sr}$ = shunt branch current at the receiving end
- $\Theta$ = line angle in radian
- $\Delta$ = power angle in radian
- $Y_{sr}$ = equivalent line charging susceptance

**2. PROBLEM FORMULATION**

Modeling of the Equivalent Two - Bus $\pi$ network System and Formulation of the Transmission Line Voltage Stability Indicator.

Any multi-bus power transmission system can be reduced to an equivalent two-bus network with Bus No.1 as the slack bus with voltage $V_g$ and Bus No.2 as the load bus with voltage $V_l$ at the receiving end. The generated power of bus 1 is $S_g = P_g + jQ_g$ and the load of bus 2 is $S_l = P_l + jQ_l$. The slack bus and the load bus are connected by an equivalent impedance of $Z_{eq}$ which is given as

$$Z_{eq} = R_{eq} + jX_{eq}$$

$$R_{eq} = \left( \frac{P_{loss}}{P_g^2 + Q_g^2} \right) V_g^2 = \left( \frac{P_g - P_l}{P_g^2 + Q_g^2} \right) V_g^2$$

$$X_{eq} = \left( \frac{Q_{loss}}{P_g^2 + Q_g^2} \right) V_g^2 = \left( \frac{Q_g - Q_l}{P_g^2 + Q_g^2} \right) V_g^2$$

The above equations are for the two-bus equivalent network assuming the slack bus or bus no.1 voltage magnitude as 1.0 pu.

$$\therefore Z_{eq} = R_{eq} + jX_{eq}$$

(1)

The load bus voltage at the receiving end of the equivalent two-bus network is given by

$$V_l = V_g - \frac{Z_{eq} \left( P_g - jQ_g \right)}{V_g}$$

(2)

From Figure 1, at node $n$:

$$I = I_{ss} + I_2$$

$$I_2 = I - I_{sr} = \frac{V_g - V_l}{Z_{eq}} - V_l Y_{sr}$$

Again, $I_2 = \frac{S_l}{V_l}$

$$\therefore \frac{S_l}{V_l} = \frac{V_g - V_l}{Z_{eq}} - V_l Y_{sr}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_l = \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} V_l \left( V_g - V_l \right) - V_l^2 Y_{sr}$$

$$= V_l V_g \left( \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} V_g - V_l \right) - V_l^2 Y_{sr}$$

$$= V_l V_g \left( \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} Y_{sr} \right) + V_l \left( \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} + Y_{sr} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \left[ V_l V_g \cos(\delta - \delta_l) + j V_l V_g \sin(\delta - \delta_l) \right] - V_l^2 \left( \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} + Y_{sr} \right)$$

(3)
Let equation (3) be equal to \((a + jb)\)

\[
S_L = (a + jb) \quad (4)
\]

Now equating the real and imaginary parts of equation (4) we can write

\[
P = a \quad \text{and} \quad Q = b \quad \text{where} \quad P \quad \text{and} \quad Q \quad \text{are the real power and reactive power respectively.}
\]

\[
\therefore P = a = \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2) - V_L^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} + Y_{sr}\right) \quad (5)
\]

\[
Q = b = \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \sin(\delta_1 - \delta_2) \quad (6)
\]

Now taking the derivatives of \(P\) and \(Q\) w.r.t \(V_L\) and \(\delta\) where \(\delta = \delta_1 - \delta_2\)

\[
\frac{dP}{d\delta} = -\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \sin\delta \quad (7)
\]

\[
\frac{dP}{dV_L} = \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cos\delta - 2V_L \left(\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} + Y_{sr}\right) \quad (8)
\]

\[
\frac{dQ}{d\delta} = \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cos\delta \quad (9)
\]

\[
\frac{dQ}{dV_L} = \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \sin\delta \quad (10)
\]

Now substituting the expressions of equations 7, 8, 9, 10 in the Jacobian Matrix we get

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \sin\delta & -\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \cos\delta - 2V_L \left(\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} + Y_{sr}\right)\\
\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \cos\delta & \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \sin\delta
\end{bmatrix} \quad (11)
\]

At voltage stability limit, considering the singularity of Jacobian Matrix i.e,

\[
\text{Det } (J) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \sin\delta - \left[\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} \cdot V_L \cdot V_L \cos\delta - 2V_L \left(\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} + Y_{sr}\right)\right] = 0 \quad (12)
\]

Simplifying equation (12) we get

\[
V_L = \frac{1}{2Z_{eq} \left(\frac{1}{Z_{eq}} + Y_{sr}\right) \cos\delta} \quad (13)
\]

Where \(Y_{sr}\) = equivalent line charging susceptance

\[
= \frac{B_{eq}}{2} \quad (14)
\]

\[
\therefore Y_{sr} = \frac{-X_{eq}}{R_{eq}^2 + X_{eq}^2} \quad (15)
\]

Substituting equation (13) in equation (12) will give

\[
V_{dec} = \frac{1}{2 + jZ_{eq} \left(\frac{-X_{eq}}{R_{eq}^2 + X_{eq}^2}\right) \cos\delta} \quad (16)
\]

The above expression for the voltage is the expression for the transmission line critical voltage at the proximity of the voltage stability limit.

Equation (2) gives the transmission line receiving end voltage of the equivalent two-bus network whereas equation (15) gives the transmission line critical voltage at the receiving end of the proposed equivalent \(\pi\) model two-bus network considering the equivalent line charging susceptance at the receiving end. The difference between these two voltages will become zero at the point of voltage collapse. Thus the proposed transmission line voltage stability indicator is the difference between the two voltages.

Thus, \(\text{Transmission Line VSI (TLVSI)} = V_L - V_{dec}\) (16)

Algorithm of the proposed Methodology

The algorithm for the proposed system simulation is given below:

Step 1: Solve by NR method the power flow for base case load.

Step 2: Reduce the multi-bus network into an equivalent \(\pi\) model of 2-bus network.

Step 3: Determine the weakest bus of the given multi-bus system by reactive power sensitivity index and the transmission line VSI for base case load.

Step 4: Increase the load of the weakest bus in small steps and determine the transmission line critical voltage, transmission line receiving end voltage, weakest bus voltage till the iterative process converges.

Step 5: Repeat Step 3.

Step 6: Stop.

case study

3. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The power flow analysis by NR method has been done by computer software programming developed in the MATLAB environment. The proposed algorithm has been tested on IEEE 5-bus and IEEE 14-bus system. At first the weakest bus of the multi-bus system is identified. This is
done from the diagonal elements of the sub-matrix of Jacobian matrix where the partial derivatives of reactive powers with respect to relevant voltages is calculated i.e. J4. Table-1 gives the values of diagonal elements of J4 i.e. dQ/dV with the respective bus numbers for the IEEE 5-bus system and Table-2 gives the values of the same for the IEEE 14-bus system.

Table-1. Values of the diagonal elements of dQ/dV for IEEE 5-bus system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus No.</th>
<th>Elements of dQ/dV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.5218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.6437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.6450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.9548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2. Values of the diagonal elements of dQ/dV for IEEE 14-bus system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus No.</th>
<th>Elements of dQ/dV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.6857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34.9319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.1865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.6676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.0475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>23.5967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.5022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.3387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.2699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.2969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.5169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For IEEE 5-bus system the smallest value of dQ/dV = 10.9548 which is for bus no. 5. As dQ/dV is low, dV/dQ will be high indicating large change in voltage for variation of reactive power of the bus. Thus bus 5 has been diagnosed as the weakest bus for the system. Whereas for IEEE 14-bus system, bus no. 14 has been identified as the weakest bus with a smallest value of dQ/dV = 4.5169. To present the simulation results of the proposed Algorithm, the weakest bus has been chosen as it has the highest sensitivity towards voltage stability.

The load in the weakest bus is gradually increased at an increment of 5% of base value until the system collapse. Table 3 shows the data for transmission line receiving end voltage given by equation (2) and the transmission line critical voltage given by equation (15) with the real power load in the weakest bus for equivalent two-bus system of IEEE 5-bus system. The plotting of the data from Table-3 has been shown in Figure-2(a). Table-4 shows the data for transmission line receiving end voltage and the transmission line critical voltage with the real power load in the weakest bus for equivalent two-bus system of IEEE 14-bus system. The plotting of the data from Table 4 has been shown in Figure-2(b).

Table-3. Data for IEEE 5-bus in the weakest bus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Transmission line receiving end voltage</th>
<th>Transmission line critical voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6169</td>
<td>0.5411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5841</td>
<td>0.5221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.5124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5475</td>
<td>0.5079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5387</td>
<td>0.5054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5326</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.5316</td>
<td>0.5038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.5311</td>
<td>0.5036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-4. Data for IEEE 14-bus system in the weakest bus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Transmission line receiving end voltage</th>
<th>Transmission line critical voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5271</td>
<td>0.5057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5257</td>
<td>0.5052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5244</td>
<td>0.5048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5233</td>
<td>0.5044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5222</td>
<td>0.5041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5213</td>
<td>0.5038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5204</td>
<td>0.5036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.5034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The plots 2(a) and 2(b) reveal that the difference between the transmission line receiving end voltage and the transmission line critical voltage becomes zero at the proximity of voltage collapse. Thus the proposed transmission line voltage stability indicator (TLVSI) given by equation (16) tends to zero for both the multi-bus systems at the point of voltage collapse.

From Figure 3(a) and 3(b) it is observed that the voltage collapse point as obtained from PV curve and from TLVSI are almost identical (TLVSI becomes zero at voltage collapse point), which validate the effectiveness of proposed indicator. Thus the proposed TLVSI can be an effective quantitative measurement for the multi-bus system to find out how far is the current state of the system to the voltage collapse point. Thus the proposed method will help the power system operator to make a fast detection of the voltage stability of any current state of the system. In both the cases i.e. IEEE 5-bus system and IEEE 14-bus system, the plot reveals that with the increase in the load the proposed $V_{tlcr}$ decreases and becomes steady near the voltage collapse point. Thus it signifies that when there is no further appreciable change in the $V_{tlcr}$ with the increase in the system load, the system is near or at the collapse point. So the proposed indicator will help the operator to specify the voltage instability of the system more accurately and efficiently. Also at the maximum loading point of the system, the proposed voltage stability indicator will become zero indicating that the system is moving towards voltage instability.
4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a new and fast method has been developed to detect the voltage collapse point prior to its happening. The methodology involves the reduction of a multi bus system into an equivalent π model of 2-bus network considering the effect of line charging susceptance. This indicator has been tested on IEEE 5-bus and IEEE 14-bus system to find out the voltage collapse point and the result is compared with a standard method for finding out voltage collapse point of a system i.e. PV curve method. The voltage collapse point obtained by both methods is almost identical, which supports the validity and accuracy of the proposed methodology. As the proposed TLVSI is based on the equivalent 2-bus network, it helps us to avoid the complications related to the mathematical derivation of a multi-bus system. Hence, the proposed indicator is very simple and helps the operator to assess the stability of the multi bus system very easily.
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