INSTABILITY OF A SHOCK WAVE OVER A BACKWARD FACING RAMP
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ABSTRACT
The turbulent transonic flow over a wall with an expansion corner and ramp is studied numerically at free-stream Mach numbers from 1.11 to 1.28. A shock wave forms in front of a horizontal plate or bar located above the ramp. Solutions of the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations are obtained on fine meshes with a finite-volume solver of second-order accuracy. The solutions demonstrate instability of the shock position at certain free-stream Mach numbers, which depend on the streamwise location of the plate/bar with respect to the ramp. The flow behavior under steady and unsteady perturbations in the free stream is analyzed. Also positions of the shock as functions of the ramp slope are studied.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In the 1990s and 2000s, numerical simulations of transonic flows revealed instability of double supersonic regions on airfoils comprising a flat or nearly flat arc [1-3]. The instability is caused by an interaction between the shock wave, which terminates the bow supersonic region, and the sonic line, which is a front of the rear supersonic region. The spacing between the shock and sonic line decreases as the free-stream Mach number increases; however, it cannot vanish because the shock and sonic line cannot have a common point on the airfoil. Therefore, if $M_\infty$ exceeds a certain value, then the shock jumps downstream and creates a coalescence of the bow and rear supersonic regions. In the 3D flow over wings, the supersonic regions may coalesce either gradually or abruptly, depending on the wing sweep angle [4].

The same type of instability takes place in channel flows where the sonic line arises over an expansion corner of a wall, while the shock is formed due to a bend of another wall. A dependence of the flow instability and bifurcation on the velocity profile given at the inlet of a divergent channel was studied in [5]. Effects of the angle of attack on the shock bifurcation in the entrance region of a simple intake were examined in [6]. In practice, such problems may occur, e.g., when a supersonic intake encounters variations of the incoming flow due to the atmospheric turbulence or a maneuvering flight of aircraft [7, 8].

In this paper, we study shock wave instability in front of a horizontal plate/bar located above a backward facing ramp. In contrast to [5], the computational domain involves a region ahead of the plate in order to capture the detached shock wave. We determine free-stream Mach numbers, streamwise locations of the ramp and expansion corner angles, at which the shock position is extremely sensitive to small perturbations.
\[ x=0.7, \quad y=1.02 \quad ; \quad x=1.5, \quad y=H, \quad (3) \]

and

\[ x=\text{x}_{\text{out}}, \quad y=\text{y}_{\text{out}} \quad ; \quad x=1.5, \quad y=1, \quad (4) \]

where \( \text{x}_{\text{out}} = 1.24, \quad \text{y}_{\text{out}} = -x_{\text{out}} \tan(16^\circ) \). The choice of the oblique segment (4) (instead of a vertical one) makes it possible to reduce the computational domain and avoid complications arising because of a boundary layer separation from the wall in the interval \( 1.24 < x < 1.5 \).

At the outlet, we impose the condition of the supersonic flow regime. On the left boundary \( x=0 \), we prescribe the flow velocity, static pressure \( p_\infty = 100,000 \text{ N/m}^2 \), and static temperature \( T_\infty = 250 \text{ K} \) which determines the sound speed \( a_\infty = 317.02 \text{ m/s} \). The no-slip condition and vanishing heat flux are used on the wall and plate. Initial data are parameters of the uniform free stream. The air is treated as a perfect gas whose specific heat at constant pressure is \( 1004.4 \text{ J/(kg K)} \) and the ratio of specific heats is \( 1.4 \). We adopt the value of 28.96 kg/kmol for the molar mass, and use the Sutherland formula for the molecular dynamic viscosity. The free-stream Mach numbers under consideration are \( 1.11 \leq M_\infty \leq 1.28 \); therefore, the Reynolds number based on \( M_\infty \) and the height \( h=0.3 \text{ m} \) is about \( 8.7 \times 10^6 \).

Solutions of the unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations were obtained with an ANSYS-15 CFX finite-volume solver, which is based on a numerical scheme of second-order accuracy. An implicit backward Euler scheme was employed for the time-accurate computations. We used a Shear Stress Transport \( k-\omega \) turbulence model which is known to reasonably predict aerodynamic flows with boundary layer separations [9].

Numerical simulations of 2D flow were performed on hybrid computational meshes constituted by quadrangles in 39 layers on the plate and wall, and by triangles in the remaining region. The non-dimensional thickness \( y^+ \) of the first mesh layer on the plate and wall was less than 1. Apart from the boundary layer region, mesh nodes were clustered in vicinities of the expansion corner and shock wave. Test computations on uniformly refined meshes of approximately \( 10^7, 2 \times 10^5 \), and \( 4 \times 10^5 \) cells showed that a discrepancy between shock wave coordinates obtained on the second and third meshes did not exceed 1%. Global time steps of \( 10^{-6} \text{ s} \) and \( 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s} \) yielded undistinguishable solutions. That is why we employed meshes of \( 2 \times 10^5 \) cells and the time step of \( 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s} \) for the study of 2D transonic flow at various free-stream velocities. The root-mean-square CFL number (over mesh cells) was about 3.

Simulations of 3D flow were performed in a domain created by an extrusion of the 2D domain in the \( z \)-direction from \( z=0 \) up to \( z=1 \). An unstructured mesh was constituted by \( 3.2 \times 10^6 \) prisms in 39 layers on the plate and wall, and by \( 18.1 \times 10^6 \) tetrahedrons in the remaining region. The solver was verified by computation of a few commonly used 2D and 3D test cases, in particular, transonic flow in a channel of 184 cm length and 19 cm height with a circular-arc bump and a curved shock located on the bump [5, Figure-2].
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**Figure-2.** Mach number contours in the flow over the wall with the corner location \( x_c=0.4 \): a) \( M_\infty=1.19 \), (b) \( M_\infty=1.16 \).

### 3. STREAMWISE POSITIONS OF THE 2D SHOCK VERSUS \( M_\infty \) AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS OF THE EXPANSION CORNER

Let the free stream be uniform and parallel to the \( x \)-axis, so that the \( x \)- and \( y \)-components of the incoming flow velocity are

\[ U_\infty=M_\infty a_\infty, \quad V_\infty=0 \quad \text{at} \quad x=0, \quad 0<y<H. \quad (5) \]

Computations of 2D flow in the band \( 1.14 \leq M_\infty \leq 1.28 \) demonstrated a convergence of the mean parameters of turbulent flow to steady states in less than 0.2 s of physical time.

For \( M_\infty=1.19 \) and the expansion corner located at \( x_c=0.4 \), the steady flow field exhibits a curved shock, behind which the velocity is subsonic except for a small vicinity of the corner, see Figure-2a. The vicinity resides beneath the line \( y=0.17 \), so that there are intersections of the line with the V-shaped contour \( M(x,y)=1 \). The \( x \)-coordinate \( x_{sh} \) of the left intersection point is used hereafter to trace the streamwise position of the shock.

Numerical simulations demonstrated a shift of the shock position upstream with decreasing \( M_\infty \) step-by-step from 1.19 to 1.162, as indicated by dots on the upper
branch of curve 2 in Figure-3. If $M_\infty$ is further decreased to 1.16, then the supersonic region splits, and a relaxation yields a steady flow with two supersonic regions separated by a subsonic zone (Figure-2b). The splitting is accompanied by a jump of the shock from the position $x_{sh}=0.3333$ to $x_{sh}=0.2016$. Further decrease of $M_\infty$ to 1.142 causes a gradual displacement of the shock position upstream and an increase of the distance between the shock and the expansion corner. Inversely, when $M_\infty$ increases from 1.142 to 1.16, the shock gradually shifts downstream, and $x_{sh}$ increases from 0.0165 to 0.2016. After that, if $M_\infty$ is further increased to 1.162, then the shock jumps to the position $x_{sh} \approx 0.336$.

For the expansion corner located at $x_c=0.5$, computations showed a similar behavior of the shock wave (curve 3 in Figure-3), though the jump of $x_{sh}$ is smaller than that in the previous case.

If the expansion corner is placed at $x_c=0.7$, then the shock foot reaches the corner at larger values of $M_\infty$. Figures 4a and 4b show that, with an increase of $M_\infty$ from 1.23 to 1.255, the shock and sonic line approach each other inside the flow. At $M_\infty=1.268$ they get into a contact, creating a local subsonic region near the wall (Figure-4c). Further increase of $M_\infty$ entails shrinking the subsonic region, which eventually disappears and admits a gradual transition from the flow with a Mach stem to the flow with a shock wave terminated at the expansion corner (Figure-4d). As a consequence, curve 4 in Figure-3, which corresponds to $x_c=0.7$, is continuous.
If \( M_\infty < 1.13 \) and the coordinate \( x_c \) of the corner is 0.3, then the shock migrates upstream and hits the boundary \( x=0 \) of the computational domain. In this case, in order to capture the shock, we set the left boundary to \( x = -0.3 \) and prolong the wall to this coordinate. For the enlarged computational domain, a dependence of the shock wave position on \( M_\infty \) at \( x_c=0.3 \) is illustrated by curve 1 in Figure-3. In order to explore an effect of the expanded boundary layer (due to the longer wall), we recomputed the shock position in the enlarged domain for \( x_c=0.5 \). A comparison of the dashed and solid branches of curve 3 shows that the effect is negligible.

4. OSCILLATORY FREE-STREAM VELOCITY, \( x_c=0.4 \)

Now we suppose that the Mach number of the incoming flow oscillates, while the velocity direction remains parallel to the \( x \)-axis,

\[
U_\infty = M_\infty(t) a_c , \quad V_\infty = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x=0, \quad 0<y<H, \quad (6)
\]

where \( M_\infty(t) = (1+\delta \sin(2 \pi t/T)) M_{\text{mid}} \).

If \( M_{\text{mid}}=1.16 \) and \( \delta=0.00862 \), then \( M_\infty(t) \) oscillates between 1.15 and 1.17. For the period \( T=0.05 \) s, the numerical simulation shows shock position oscillations in the interval \( x_{\text{sh,min}} = 0.149 \leq x_{\text{sh}} \leq x_{\text{sh,max}} = 0.250 \), which is shorter than the band \( 0.0997 \leq x_{\text{sh}} \leq 0.375 \) determined by curve 2 in Figure-3 for the stationary values \( M_x=1.15 \) and \( M_x=1.17 \). This is explained by the insufficient time \( T=0.05 \) s for accomplishing the flow relaxation to steady states corresponding to different branches of curve 2. Meanwhile for the doubled period \( T=0.1 \) s computations showed oscillations of \( x_{\text{sh}} \) in the longer interval

\[
0.120 \leq x_{\text{sh}} \leq 0.282. \quad (7)
\]

If now \( M_{\text{mid}} \) is increased to 1.17, so that \( M_\infty(t) \) oscillates with the same \( T=0.1 \) s and the same amplitude between \( M_x=1.16 \) and \( M_x=1.18 \), then shock wave oscillations are halved as compared to (7):

\[
0.329 \leq x_{\text{sh}} \leq 0.412.
\]

This confirms a high sensitivity of the flow field to small perturbations at Mach numbers which are close to the discontinuity of curve 2 in Figure-3.

5. 3D FLOW COMPUTATIONS

In 3D flow simulations, the side boundaries \( z=0 \) and \( z=1 \) of the computational domain were split into two parts by the segments \( y=2.5x \), \( 0\leq x \leq 0.4 \) and the plate depicted in Figure-1. Each of the lower parts is given by \( y \leq 2.5x \) at \( 0 \leq x \leq 0.4 \) and \( y \leq 1.02 \) at \( 0.4 \leq x \leq x_{\text{out}} \). The upper parts are endowed with a symmetry condition and considered as an interface between the flow in the domain \( 0<z<1 \) and the ambient flow in domains \( z<0 \) and \( z>1 \). The incoming flow is parallel to the \( x \)-axis. For the expansion corner location \( x_c=0.4 \), the obtained shock positions are illustrated by curve 2 in Figure-5. The coordinate \( x_{\text{sh}} \) of 3D shock was calculated at the height \( y=0.17 \) in the midspan section of the channel \( z=0.5 \). Figures 6 and 7 show the shock and sonic surfaces locations for \( M_x=1.16 \). In addition to the sonic surface connecting the plate and corner region, Figure-6 demonstrates the sonic surface \( M(x,y,z)=1 \) in the boundary layers.
6. BEHAVIOR OF THE SHOCK GENERATED BY A BAR

Now we replace the plate (2) by a short bar of the same thickness

$$0.4 < x < 0.44, \quad 1 < y < 1.02,$$

(8)

see Figure-8. Computations showed that the replacement entails only small changes in the shock location on the wall, cf. curves 1 and 3 in Figure-5.

The shock position as a function of the expansion corner angle $\theta$ turns out to depend crucially on the Mach number $M_\infty$. If $M_\infty = 1.17$, then the dependence $x_{sh}(\theta)$ is linear when $\theta$ increases from 0° to 2° (curve 1 in Figure-8). Meanwhile if $\theta$ further increases to 20°, then $x_{sh}$ become almost fixed; the shock foot remains on the horizontal part of wall and does not reach the sonic line.

For $M_\infty = 1.175$ and $\theta \leq 7°$, transonic flow over the corner is non-unique, see curve 2 in Figure-9. Apart from the flow with a shock on the horizontal part of wall, there exists a flow regime with an oblique shock that reaches a vicinity of the expansion corner. The latter can be obtained by solving the problem with the uniform flow for initial data. The shock position $x_{sh}$ persists when $7° \leq \theta \leq 20°$. However, when $\theta$ becomes less than 7°, the supersonic region ruptures and the shock wave jumps upstream.

At $M_\infty = 1.18$, if the expansion angle $\theta$ increases from 0° to 4.5° (curve 3 in Figure-9), then the shock shifts downstream, being located on the horizontal part of wall. However, when $\theta$ exceeds 4.5°, the shock jumps to the position $x_{sh} \approx 0.363$ and intersects the sonic line.
6. CONCLUSIONS

The numerical simulations of 2D shock wave behavior over an expansion corner showed the existence of corner angles and free-stream Mach numbers \(M_\infty\) at which there are abrupt jumps of the shock leg position. The flow hysteresis with respect to variation of \(M_\infty\) is small in contrast to transonic flow in channels with a slope of the upper wall [5, 6]. However, in a narrow range of \(M_\infty\), there exist non-unique solutions whose realization depends on the time history of boundary conditions. The shock instability is one of the key factors governing flow oscillations under unsteady perturbations in the free stream. Simulations of the 3D flow confirmed the findings.
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