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ABSTRACT
In the last years, the attention to environmental issue is growing, demonstrating the interest to protect the nature and to better use the non-renewable resources. At international level, and especially in the European Community, for different trades, a wide production of voluntary documents and institutional acts proves the interest and the need for a green economy. An innovative approach may lead to the experience of Green Public Procurements (GPP), to protect the environment as a public interest and to promote technological developments. So far, the experiences of GPP are limited, not entirely positive and in the field of road infrastructures almost entirely absent. Construction and maintenance of road infrastructures is objectively more complex than purchasing goods or services. The paper proposes the integration of the weighted sum multi-criteria analysis into existing procedures. The methodology needs for environmental labels related to materials, machines and works which contribute to the final product “road”. The labels are recognized at international level and consistent with procedures, conditions and criteria currently published in road tenders, therefore the approach can be followed to pursue the environmental sustainability of road infrastructures without compromising the economic attention.
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INTRODUCTION
Performance of road infrastructures during their service life affects consumers, supply chain and manufactures. Technical, structural and functional characteristics determine direct and indirect costs (Cantisani et al., 2016). A correct and desirable road infrastructure design should consider construction, maintenance and repair works during all service life, balancing economic considerations, environmental performance and market availability (Ecoplan & Infras, 2010). Environmental, technical, and social data have to be considered to avoid improper decisions and to guarantee the overall infrastructure sustainability (Cantisani et al., 2012; Miccoli et al., 2015; Bernardini et al., 2017; Loprencipe et al., 2017).

Sustainability is an approach which involves business practices around the world: some indices pursue an integrated approach to assessing sustainability performance. Not only public agencies, but also private companies focus on sustainability issues to enhance long-term potential. Companies consider opportunities and risks deriving from economic, environmental and social developments to plan their investments. Environmental issues are also in financial contexts, as recommended by the Dow Jones Sustainability World Index launched in 1999. It is the first global sustainability benchmark, the most important stock market index of evaluation of corporate social responsibility.

In 2000, the United Nations promoted the United Nations Global Compact initiative to encourage sustainable and socially responsible policies in businesses worldwide. The initiative lists Ten Principles in business strategy and operations around the world to embrace universal principles. They concern the human rights, the labours standards, the environment and the anticorruption behaviour. For the environmental issues, “business should support a precautionary approach to environmental challenges, undertake initiatives to promote environmental responsibility and encourage the development and diffusion of environmentally friendly technologies”. Enlightened global business may not give up the implementation of comparative Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) in the development of innovations and the use of employing Environmental Technology Assessments (EnTA) (International Labour Organization, 1998; United Nations, 1948; United Nations, 1992; United Nations, 2003).

These positions stimulate both industrial competition looking for technologies ensuring environmentally friendly solutions and political initiatives to promote effective and real results.

In 1987, the report “Our Common Future”, known as the Brundtland Report, defined the sustainable development as the “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs” (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987). Moreover, the World Bank Group, in 1996, stated “the external effects of transport [should] be taken into account fully when public or private decisions are made” (World Bank, 1996). In recent years, the international community showed a growing interest about these issues, demonstrating efforts to optimize the management of available resources in many areas (Miccoli et al., 2014a; Bonin et al., 2017).

Many assessment tools for urban design are used (Miccoli et al., 2014b), as for transit planning with many case studies funded by the European Commission (Musso and Corazza 2006). These are similar on environmental dimensions, but they differ widely in economic, social and cultural approaches (Ameen et al., 2015). Recently, the
Ecological Impact Assessment methodology has been proposed to evaluate ecological effects of road construction (Igondova et al., 2016), but it does not consider economic criteria.

The introduction of environmental criteria in decision (economic) processes about collective interests needs a new approach to evaluate the proposed solution: the Green Public Procurement (GPP), to be applied in road infrastructures call for tenders. Such new approach is most needed also in light of the recurring underestimation of the environmental issue by many transport stakeholders (Musso and Corazza 2015, Corazza et al., 2016a).

The best environmental option should consider the functional infrastructure performances (Loprencipe and Cantisani, 2013; Bonin et al., 2007; Cantisani et al., 2013), the use of waste materials (Fiore et al., 2014; 2017), the structural characteristics of materials (Moretti et al., 2017a; Tozzo et al., 2016). In addition, it should take into account the available budget (Di Mascio et al., 2014). In literature, some studies about product overall costs are available. The most frequent documents are: for economic issues, “Life Cycle Cost” (LCC), and, for environmental issues, “Life Cycle Impact Assessment” (LCIA), but often the evaluations lack of synthesis.

Several software permit to calculate environmental impacts (Thinkstep, 2016; PRé Sustainability, 2016; Green Delta, 2014), some also costs (Ifu Hamburg, 2016), using life cycle databases (Ecoinvent, 2016; European Commission’s Joint Research Centre, 2014).

In the past, criteria to sustain the road manager in the decision phases have been dealt with roads (Di Mascio et al., 2012; Moretti, 2014), railways (Botniabanan ab, 2010), airports (Shahin, 2005; Moretti et al., 2017b) and sidewalks (Corazza et al., 2016b; Zoccai et al., 2017), construction and maintenance infrastructure activities (CIMbèton, 2011; Moretti et al., 2016; Moretti et al., 2017c).

In many fields of industrial products, the Multi Criteria Analysis (MCA) (Balasubramaniam and Voulovoulis, 2005) with the weighted sum method as decision-making tool (Bond and Morrison-Saunders, 2011; Moretti et al., 2017d) has been proposed. In the last few years, this approach has been considered also in the construction of the road infrastructure. Each chemical, physical or practical factor contributing to the final product “road” involves the ecological harm of chain production (Acciona Infraestructuras, 2013).

Considering this new approach, this paper presents a new methodology that uses the ecoinvent databases related to each data involved in the examined infrastructure. The environmental data may be published by producers or suppliers and certified by third party, or provided by independent databases. Each dataset considers the production, extraction, manufacturing, transportation and laying of one declared unit considering emissions in air and in water, material and energy consumption. The available datasets and the bill of quantities of the examined project should be composed to assess the environmental impact.

The proposed method goes for all levels of decision-making encouraging the environmental protection, minimizing costs and protecting public interests. The method is framed in the current European laws dealing with the environmental issue.

**ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES IN EUROPE: APPROACHES TO GREEN PUBLIC PROCUREMENT**

Several measures adopted by European Commission mark the environment protection as a component of the Community policy.

In 1996, the Communication “Public Procurement in the European Union: Exploring the Way Forward. Green Paper” allows adopting “green” approach in PP (European Commission, 1996). PP policy may “generate sustainable, long term growth” and contribute to reach public aims. For the European authority, the environment protection is a relevant Community policy and it should be pursued by technical rules.

In 2001, the Community legislature has continued the line proposed in 1996 (European Commission, 1996) adopting the Communication “Development Sustainable Europe for a Better World: A European Union Strategy for Sustainable Development”. It emphasizes that Member States should support the use of material goods and practices eco-friendly through the procurement legislation (European Commission, 2001a).

The “Interpretative Communication on the Community Law Applicable to Public Procurement (PP) and the Possibilities for Integrating Environmental Considerations into Public Procurement” (European Commission, 2001b) and the Communication “Sixth Environmental Action Programme: Environment 2010: Our future, our choice” (European Commission, 2001c) address this issue. The former recognizes the “possibilities of … integration of environmental considerations into public procurement”. The latter focuses the need of a whole range of instruments and measures to influence the decision-makers.

In 2004, two European Directives demonstrate the Community interest to enhance sustainable growth through public procurement. The “Directive 2004/17/EC coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors” (European Parliament and Council, 2004a) and the “Directive 2004/18/EC on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts” (European Parliament and Council, 2004b) are the European framework for the public-sector contracts. The tendering process can integrate both environmental criteria and economic interests in public purchasing.

Green Public Procurement has been defined by the Communication “Public procurement for a better environment” (European Commission, 2008) as “a process whereby public authorities seek to procure goods, services and works with a reduced environmental impact throughout their life cycle when compared to goods, services and works with the same primary function that would otherwise be procured”.

4888
Since 2008, the EU Commission has published more than 20 common GPP criteria. The involved sectors have been selected through a multi-criteria analysis considering environmental improvement; public expenditure; impact on suppliers; political interest; criteria availability; effectiveness. The European Union published in 2013 the last GPP criteria, other criteria have been updated or are currently under revision: it shows the interest that EU Commission and European local authorities have to improving the environmental and economic performance of products. As referred, until now, the environmental considerations into public procurement do not concern the construction of road infrastructures. Some SME launched successfully the green tenders, but some difficulties appeared.

Recent studies have identified the reasons that hinder the spread of GPP (Bouwer et al., 2006; Arrowsmith and Kunzlik, 2009). They could be classified in two categories: lacks and difficulties. Lacks refer to political, cultural, legal and practical experience; Difficulties refer to the cultural resistance to change and to the difficulty in managing the new procedures.

In 2008, the Report on methodologies “Collection of statistical information on Green Public Procurement in the EU” (Price Water house Coopers, Significant and Ecofys, 2009) developed suitable criteria for measuring the quantitative levels of GPP, its CO2 emissions and financial impact. The study founded the seven EU Member States best performing in terms of GPP. The indexes defined to evaluate the GPP diffusion were the percentage GPP of total PP in terms of monetary value and in terms of number of contracts.

The introduction of environmental criteria is subject to communication and information policies by the government agencies to promoting a critical and global green approach. Indeed, sometimes it has spread incorrect evaluations of environmental impact.

For example, recycled aggregates for road pavement layers are uncritically preferred to natural aggregates. The Italian Ministry of the Environment and Protection of Land and Sea of Italy sets the employment of at least the 30% of recycled materials in new constructions (Ministerodell’ambiente e dellatutela del territorio, 2003; Ministerodell’ambiente e dellatutela del territorio, 2005). Aggregates with equivalent performance requirements can be extracted from a close quarry or caught from a faraway recycling plant. Recycling process or recycled materials may cause environmental burdens of secondary raw materials higher than primary raw materials: their consequences should be investigated (D’Andrea et al., 2004). Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) is the tool to measure the global environmental performance of materials and to select the most advantageous solution.

The Report about traffic signs (European Commission, 2010) states that cold asphalt concrete technology can save energy and cost compared to traditional hot asphalt mixing. However, cold asphalt mix probably requires more frequently replacement, leading to higher maintenance costs (D’Andrea and Fiore, 2003).


The Report about traffic signs is the only document adopted by European Commission about road infrastructures: (European Commission, 2010), Hungary, Netherlands, Japan and Korea have published a number of standards for road constructions. Some Ecolabels are available: they are schemes about environmental sustainability of flexible or rigid pavements; concrete products as barriers, concrete paving blocks and concrete tiles; concrete materials, including cement (Buzzi, 2014; Holcim, 2013; Cementerie Aldo Barbetti, 2014), aggregates (Union Européenne des Producteurs de Granulats, 2012), secondary raw materials (Officinadell’Ambiente S.p.A., 2015), steel reinforcement (Industrie Runithe Odolei, 2013) and additives. They consider construction, use, maintenance, management, disposal and recycling of products used during service life because they influence the final sustainability of project. In some other types of services, as for instance urban public transport, the need to have programs very similar to Ecolabels (to promote more eco-friendly and accessible transit supply) has been recurrently highlighted (Corazza et. al 2016a, Corazza et al. 2017).

Public administrations could contribute to the green purchasing policy only by means of GPP. In Italian infrastructure area, various examples of innovative design can be provided (Cantisani et al., 2012).In 2010 Italferr, the Italian State Railways Group engineering firm, operating on the Italian and international markets of railway transport engineering, has assessed the CO2 emissions of some projects: for example, the definitive project of upgrading of the existing Rho-Arona line (Italferr, 2010) and the definitive project of doubling of the track Fiumetorto-Cefalù-Castelbuono (Italferr, 2011). More lately, Italferr and IRIDE (Institute for Ecosustainability Research and Engineering) have defined a method to assess sustainability of a project (Del Principe et al., 2015). This methodology considers 10 social, economic and environmental macro-objectives gathered from the main international papers: improve collective decisions, mobility, welfare and environment; preserve and promote quality of context; reduce traffic, use of resources, waste production and pollution. The tools measure the environmental sustainability of railway infrastructures, considering only a few laid down indicators.

**METHOD**

The objective of this paper is to consider not only economic but also environmental issues in the design and decision phases. The authors propose an innovative and modular methodology useful for calculating the environmental impact of a road construction. The method is based on type III Environmental Declarations defined by ISO 14025 to evaluate the LCA t of any manufacturing process. All environmental and quantitative data used to
calculate an “Environmental Bill of Quantities” are closely associated with the most known “Bill of Quantities”. Indeed, they involve all required machines, works or materials needed for the project. The “Environmental Bill of Quantities” consists of chapters on environmental harm related to the final product “road”. For example, the Environmental Bill of Quantities could consider the global warming potential, the ozone layer depletion, the dust production, the net fresh water consumption, the abiotic elements and fuels depletion, the use of secondary raw materials, the production of waste and the energy consumption.

These environmental pollution categories should appear, if available, in each product bulletin provided by manufacturers like an EPD®. EPD is a standardized report of data collected in the LCA. It must follow the rules and guidelines defined product category rules (PCR) to provide comparable documents; a third party green audit proves that the collected data meet all ISO requirements, including the concerning PCR. For example, it is possible to consult the standard “Sustainability of construction works, Environmental product declarations, Core rules for the product category of construction products” (UNI EN 15804, 2014). The results listed in an EPD are interesting, but they lack synthesis (Moretti and Caro, 2017).

The authors have identified the MCA as a tool to compare products. The MCA method may be applied in the GPP procedures, according to the environmental priority established by the Public Administration. Depending on the specific problem, the weighting factors vary; each solution is therefore dropped in the context to which it refers.

Methodology explanation

Each of m design alternatives could be represented by the vector \(d(I^n)\), where n is the number of considered environmental categories. Each damage vector \(d\) represents the environmental impact related to each alternative. The aligned vectors \(d\) compose a matrix \((m*n)\), defined as matrix of options \(O\).

\[
O = \begin{pmatrix}
o_{1,1} & \ldots & o_{1,n} \\
o_{i,1} & \ldots & o_{i,n} \\
o_{m,1} & \ldots & o_{m,n}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Each column of matrix \(O\) allows a comparison among the options, one for each environmental category. The matrix \(O\) still not allows comparing the mixtures, but offers a schematic prospectus useful to stakeholders. Obviously, each column of matrix \(O\) has a physical dimension, so a procedure to have an equivalent, but dimensionless, matrix needs. To do this, a methodology of standardization should be adopted using standardization functions, which vary according the examined project. Table-1 lists examples of standardization functions.

Table-1. Standardization functions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodology of standardization</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column maximum</td>
<td>(x/x_{\text{max}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal value</td>
<td>((x-x_{\text{min,ideal}})/(x-x_{\text{max,ideal}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average value</td>
<td>(x/x_{\text{mean}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval standardization</td>
<td>((x-x_{\text{min,column}})/(x-x_{\text{max,column}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive constrain</td>
<td>(x/\sum x_{\text{column}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector normalization</td>
<td>(x/\sqrt{x^2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range value</td>
<td>(x/x_{\text{max}}-x_{\text{min}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear value</td>
<td>(x- x_{\text{min}}/x_{\text{max}}-x_{\text{min}})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The selected standardization function \(f(x)\) transforms the \(o_{ij}\) scores according to their relative values as in Equation (2).

\[
I = f(O)
\]

(2)

In the analysis, the impact matrix \(I\) substitutes the option matrix \(O\), as in Equation (3).

\[
I = \begin{pmatrix}
i_{1,1} & \ldots & i_{1,n} \\
i_{i,1} & \ldots & i_{i,n} \\
i_{m,1} & \ldots & i_{m,n}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3)

The multiplication between the impact matrix \((I)\) and the weight vector \((w)\) gives the impact vector \((i)\) as in Equation (4)

\[
I \times w = i
\]

(4)

\(w_j\) elements are real nonnegative numbers, such that (Equation 5):

\[
\sum_j w_j = 1
\]

(5)

where \(w_j\) represent the relative importance of examined \(j\) variables.
The proposed methodology demonstrates that it is possible to use an unbiased tool to calculate and examine the environmental performance of a road project. Furthermore, the results from LCA conducted for road materials, machines, and works provide reliable information to identify potential actions that could contribute to reduce the environmental impact and optimize the available resources. Current practices in road infrastructure projects demonstrate that there is a need for a rigorous methodology that combines unbiased and comparable environmental criteria. This methodology permits to have a proper environmental approach and it avoids choosing a low-cost material with higher environmental burden, balancing often-conflicting objectives.

Every possible weight vector stands for different sensibilities of stakeholders, experts, public authorities (Stillwell, 2001) to address the problem. Consequently, for the same configuration of damage matrix $I$, different weight configuration gives different best option. The impact vector represents a quantitative and objective declaration of the environmental impact of the selected design alternative.

The methodology of weighted summation ensures synthetic, measurable and precise results for performing environmental impact assessments of roadwork. Moreover, it clarifies the strengths and weaknesses of the alternative policies to support stakeholder interests.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Although the interest in environmental-related construction issues has grown fast in recent years, there is still a need for a comprehensive approach that could be universally applied to different civil works. Often, the environmental analysis is conducted using a qualitative rather than quantitative approach, providing weak and far from reliable effects.

International concern over climate change and urban pollution is growing. Often call for tenders of road construction applied just economic criteria, due to difficult estimation of eco-responsible targets. By means of Green Public Procurement, the international framework is taking an interest about environmental issues in Public Administration. So far, however, the GPP approach has not been implemented to roads construction. The present study deals with an innovative method developed to estimate environmental harms of road construction and to choose the most environmentally-friend solution. The proposed research has identified the weighted sum multi-criteria analysis as the tool to assess the sustainability of a road project, to consider equivalent options and to choose the one that meets public requests. The method is objective, user-friendly and comprehensive method: it applies the Multi Criteria Analysis as a tool for assessing the environmental impact of a road project. By means of weighting factors, it allows comparisons among alternative projects, so it is advisable in tendering rules.

Therefore, when decision makers should evaluate more solutions, the comparative Multi Criteria Analysis could ensure the “greenest” solution. This approach reduces risk of considering “greenest” a good more pollutant and dangerous than others. The numeric and not dimensional value of environmental effects takes into account the local context and the stakeholders’ objectives. It could be a valid support-decision maker for politicians and technicians. The methodology allows applying GPP in road works: it is an appropriate tool to stimulate the market and encourage technological innovation by the development of products with high environmental performance throughout the life cycle.

The importance of this study is that it provides a literature review about European green public procurement related to road infrastructures, which is growing its importance in call for bids. The current conditions highlight the need for a methodology synthetic, objective and simple to solve the observed lacks. The explained methodology provides a tool for management bodies and/or authorities to implement strategies, procedures of policies to guarantee environmental protection.
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