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ABSTRACT
The aim of the present paper is an investigation of thermal-diffusion and diffusion-thermo effects on MHD flow through porous medium past an exponentially accelerated inclined plate with variable temperature. The governing non-linear partial differential equations are transformed into a system of coupled non-linear ordinary differential equations using similarity transformations. A robust finite element method (FEM) has been adopted to obtain the solution of the transformed flow equations with corresponding initial and boundary conditions. Extensive discussion of the finite element formulation, convergence and validation is provided. The influence of physical parameters on dimensionless velocity, temperature and concentration are presented graphically to illustrate interesting features of the solutions. The effect of flow pertinent parameters on skin friction, Nusselt number and Sherwood number are discussed and presented in tabular form. Finally, a qualitative comparison has been made between the present work and previous published result, found that there is an excellent agreement between the results exists.
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INTRODUCTION
In the above mentioned works, the diffusion-thermo (Dufour) and the thermal-diffusion (Soret) terms were not taken into account in the energy and concentration equations respectively. But when the heat and mass transfer occur simultaneously in a moving fluid, the relations between the fluxes and driving potentials are of a more intricate nature. It is found that a heat flux can be generated not only by temperature gradients but by composition gradients as well. The heat flux that occurs due to composition gradient is called the Dufour effect or diffusion-thermo effect. On the other hand the flux of mass caused due to temperature gradient is known as the Soret effect or the thermal-diffusion effect. The experimental investigation of the thermal-diffusion effect on mass transfer related problems was first done by Charles Soret in 1879. Hence this thermal-diffusion is known as the Soret effect in honour of Charles Soret. In general the Soret and Dufour effects are of a smaller order of magnitude than the effects described in Fourier’s or Fick’s law and are often neglected in heat and mass transfer processes. Though these effects are quite small, certain devices can be arranged to produce very steep temperature and concentration gradients so that the separation of components in mixtures are affected. Postelnicu [1] analyzed the simultaneous heat and mass transfer by natural convection from a vertical flat plate embedded in an electrically-conducting fluid saturated porous medium using the Darcy Boussinesq model in the presence of Dufour and Soret effects. Ramzan et al. [2] examined three dimensional boundary layer flow of a viscoelastic nanofluid with Soret and Dufour effects. Nayak et al. [3] analyzed Soret and Dufour effects on mixed convection unsteady MHD boundary layer flow over stretching sheet in porous medium with chemically reactive species. Tai Bo-Chen and Char Ming [4] have studied Soret and Dufour effects on free convection flow of non-Newtonian fluids along a vertical plate embedded in a porous medium with thermal radiation. Mohammad Ali and Mohammad Shah Alam [5] have investigated Soret and Hall Effect on MHD flow, heat and mass transfer over a vertical stretching sheet in a porous medium due to heat generation.

The conjugate phenomena of heat and mass transfer flows in an exponentially accelerated inclined plate embedded in a porous medium has many engineering and geophysical applications such as chemical industry, geothermal reservoirs, drying of porous solids, thermal insulation, enhanced oil recovery, MHD power generators, packed-bed catalytic reactors, cooling of nuclear reactors and underground energy transport. Heat and mass transfer in such a medium occurs in all phases by conduction. Mass transport occurs within voids of the medium. The voids in an unsaturated state are filled with a liquid partially filled, while the remaing voids are filled with some gas. The concept that no hygroscopic fiber is a common misapprehension (i.e., those of low intrinsic for moisture vapor) will automatically produce a hydrophobic fabric. Recently, Seth et al. [6] presented heat and mass transfer effects on unsteady MHD natural convection flow of a chemically reactive and radiating fluid through a porous medium past a moving vertical plate with arbitrary ramped temperature. Siva Reddy and Anjan Kumar [7] have presented Finite element analysis of heat and mass...

The study of fluid flows and heat transfer through porous medium has attracted much attention recently. This is primarily because of numerous applications of flow through porous medium, such as storage of radioactive nuclear waste materials transfer, separation processes in chemical industries, filtration, transpiration cooling, transport processes in aquifers, ground water pollution, etc. Examples of natural porous media are beach sand, sandstone, limestone, rye bread, wood, the human lung, bileduct, gall bladder with stones and in small blood vessels. In some pathological situations, the distribution of fatty cholesterol and artery clogging blood clots in the lumen of coronary artery can be considered as equivalent to a porous medium. Comprehensive literature surveys concerning the subject of porous media can be found in the most recent books by Nield and Bejan [12], Vafai [13], Pop and Ingham [14]. Das et al. [15] examined the mass transfer effects on MHD flow and heat transfer past a vertical porous plate through a porous medium under oscillatory suction and heat source. Anand Rao et al. [16] have analyzed Chemical reaction effects on an unsteady magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) free convection fluid flow past a semi-infinite vertical plate embedded in a porous medium with heat absorption.

The present study is to investigate the combined effects of thermal-diffusion and diffusion-thermo effects on MHD flow through porous medium past an exponentially accelerated inclined plate with variable temperature. The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 presents the literature review related to the research subject; section 2 describes the formulation of the problem; section 3 describes the adopted methodology in this research; section 4 describes the validations of numerical results; the results and discussion are then introduced in section 5; and section 6 presents some concluding remarks.

Formulation of the Problem

In the present work we consider the unsteady uniform MHD free convective flow of a viscous, incompressible and radiating fluid past an accelerated inclined infinite plate with variable temperature embedded in a saturated porous medium. The $x'$ axis is taken along the plate with the angle of inclination $\alpha$ to the vertical and the $y'-axis$ is taken normal to the plate. A uniform magnetic field of strength $B_0$ is applied in the direction perpendicular to the plate. The induced magnetic field is neglected as the magnetic Reynolds number of the flow is very small. Initially, it is assumed that the plate and the surrounding fluid are at the same temperature $T'_{\infty}$ and concentration $C'_{\infty}$. At time $t' > 0$, the plate is exponentially accelerated with a velocity $u' = u_0 \exp(\alpha t')$ in its own place. At the same time the temperature and concentration level are also raised or lowered linearly with time $t$.

The physical model and coordinates system is shown in Figure-1. Pattnaik et al. [11] the boundary layer equations of flow, heat and mass transfer past an exponentially accelerated inclined plate are given by

![Figure-1. Physical model and coordinate system.](image-url)
\[
\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t'} = g \beta (T' - T_\infty) \cos \alpha + g \beta' (C' - C'_\infty) \cos \alpha + v \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{\sigma B_p u'}{\rho} - \frac{vu'}{K_p}
\]  
\[\rho c_p \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} = k \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial y'} + S' (T' - T_\infty) + \frac{\partial D m K_p}{C'_\infty} \frac{\partial^2 C'}{\partial y'^2}
\]  
\[\frac{\partial C'}{\partial t'} = D \frac{\partial^2 C'}{\partial y'^2} - K'_c (C' - C'_\infty) + \frac{\partial D m K_p}{T'_w} \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2}
\]

Initial and boundary conditions are given as:
\[t' \leq 0: \quad u' = 0, \quad T' = T'_\infty, \quad C' = C'_\infty \quad \text{for all} \quad y'
\]
\[t' > 0: \quad u' = u_0 \exp(a t'), \quad T' = T'_\infty + \frac{(T'_w - T'_\infty)u_0^2 t'}{v}, \quad C' = C'_\infty + \frac{(C'_w - C'_\infty)u_0^2 t'}{v} \quad \text{at} \quad y' = 0
\]
and
\[u' \rightarrow 0, \quad T' \rightarrow T'_\infty, \quad C' \rightarrow C'_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y' \rightarrow \infty.
\]

The boundary conditions for the temperature of the plate impose a linearity relation between temperature and time with a residual temperature \(T'_\infty\) and having a constant slope \(u_0^2/v\), which depends upon square of the characteristic velocity and material property. Similar explanation holds for concentration at the plate. The fluid considered here is a gray, absorbing/emitting radiation but a non-scattering medium. The local gradient for the case of an optically thin gray gas is expressed by
\[\frac{\partial q}{\partial y'} = -4 \alpha' \sigma (T'^4 - T'^4)\]

We assume that the temperature differences within the flow are sufficiently small and \(T'^4\) can be expressed as a linear function of the temperature. This is accomplished by expanding \(T'^4\) in a Taylor series about \(T'_\infty\) and neglecting the higher order terms, we get
\[T'^4 \approx 4T'_\infty^3 T' - 3T'^4 \]

Substitution equations (5) and (6) in equation (2), yields to
\[\rho c_p \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} = k \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} - 16 \alpha' \sigma T'_\infty (T' - T'_\infty) + \frac{\partial D m K_p}{C'_\infty} \frac{\partial^2 C'}{\partial y'^2}
\]

On introducing the following non-dimensional quantities
\[
y = \frac{y u_0}{v}, \quad U = \frac{u}{u_0}, \quad t = \frac{t u_0^2}{v}, \quad a = \frac{a v}{u_0}, \quad T = \frac{T' - T'_\infty}{T'_w - T'_\infty}, \quad C = \frac{C' - C'_\infty}{C'_w - C'_\infty}, \quad \Pr = \frac{\mu c_p}{k}, \quad Gr = \frac{g \beta v (T'_w - T'_\infty)}{u_0^3}, \quad Gc = \frac{g \beta v (C'_w - C'_\infty)}{u_0^3}, \quad Du = \frac{D m K_p}{C'_\infty v(T'_w - T'_\infty)}, \quad M = \frac{\alpha \beta v}{\rho u_0^2}, \quad Sr = \frac{D m K_p}{T'_w v(C'_w - C'_\infty)}, \quad Kp = \frac{u_0^2 K'_c}{v^2}, \quad Kc = \frac{v K'_c}{u_0}, \quad R = \frac{16 \alpha' \sigma T'_\infty}{ku_0^2}, \quad S = \frac{\alpha v}{\rho c_p u_0}, \quad Sc = \frac{v}{D}
\]

Introducing Equation (9), we obtain non-dimensional form of Equations (2), (8) and (4) respectively:

Momentum equation:
\[\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y'^2} - MU - \frac{U}{K_p} + Gr T \cos(\alpha) + Gc C \cos(\alpha)
\]

Energy equation:
\[\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{Pr} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y'^2} + ST + Du \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y'^2}
\]

Species equation:
\[\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{Sc} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y'^2} - Kc C + Sr \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y'^2}
\]

The corresponding dimensionless boundary conditions are:
\[
\begin{cases}
U = 0, \quad T = 0, \quad C = 0 \quad \forall Y, \quad t \leq 0 \\
U = \exp(at), \quad T = t, \quad C = t \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0
\end{cases}
\]
\[
\begin{cases}
U \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow 0, \quad C \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty
\end{cases}
\]

Now it is important to calculate the physical quantities of primary interest, which are the local shear stress, local surface heat flux and Sherwood number.
From this table it is observed that the skin friction \( \tau \) increases with increasing the values of Skin friction \( \tau \), Nusselt number \( Nu \), Sherwood number \( Sh \), and decreasing the values of \( Gr \), \( GrC \). On the other hand Skin friction \( \tau \) decreases with increasing the values of \( Kp \), \( t \), and 

Dimensionless local wall shear stress or skin-friction is obtained as,

\[
\tau = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_w}, \quad \tau_w = \left[ \mu \frac{\partial u'}{\partial y'} \right]_{y'=0} = \rho u_w^3 u'(0) = \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0} \quad (13)
\]

The dimensionless local surface heat flux (i.e., Nusselt number) is obtained as

\[
N_u(x') = -\left[ \frac{x'}{(T_+ - T_0') \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}} \right]_{y'=0} \quad \text{then}
\]

\[
Nu = \frac{N_u(x')v}{u_0x'} = \left[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0} \quad (14)
\]

The local Sherwood number is obtained as

\[
S_h(x') = -\left[ \frac{x'}{(C'_w - C'_w) \frac{\partial C'}{\partial y}} \right]_{y'=0} \quad \text{then}
\]

\[
Sh = \frac{S_h(x')v}{u_0x'} = \left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0} \quad (15)
\]

Where \( R_e = \frac{u_0x'}{v} \) is the Reynold’s number.

**Method of solution**

The finite element method has been implemented to obtain numerical solutions of equations (9)-(11) under boundary conditions (12). This technique is extremely efficient and allows robust solutions of complex coupled, nonlinear multiple degree differential equation systems. The fundamental steps comprising the method are now summarized. An excellent description of finite element formulations is available in Bathe [17] and Reddy [18].

**Step-1: Discretization of the Domain into Elements**

The whole domain is divided into finite number of sub-domains, a process known as Discretization of the domain. Each sub-domain is termed a finite element. The collection of elements is designated the finite element mesh.

**Step-2: Derivation of the element Equations**

The derivation of finite element equations i.e., algebraic equations among the unknown parameters of the finite element approximation, involves the following three steps.

a. Construct the variational formulation of the differential equation.

b. Assume the form of the approximate solution over a typical finite element.

c. Derive the finite element equations by substituting the approximate solution into variational formulation.

These steps results in a matrix equation of the form \( [K] [a] = [F] \), which defines the finite element model of the original equation.

**Step-3: Assembly of Element Equations**

The algebraic equations so obtained are assembled by imposing the “inter-element” continuity conditions. This yields a large number of algebraic equations constituting the “global finite element model”, which governs the whole flow domain.

**Step-4: Impositions of Boundary Conditions**

The physical boundary conditions defined in (12) are imposed on the assembled equations.

**Step-5: Solution of the Assembled Equations**

The final matrix equation can be solved by a direct or indirect (iterative) method.

**Grid independence study**

To guarantee the grid independent solution a grid refinement test is carried out by dividing the whole domain into successively sized grids 41x41, 61x61, and 81x81 in the y-axis direction. For all the computations 81 intervals of constant step size 0.01 is considered, which were found to be very accurate. At each node functions are to be evaluated. Hence after assembly of elements a set of 243 non-linear equations are formed, consequently an iterative scheme is adopted and solved by well-known Thomas algorithm. A convergence criterion based on relative difference between two successive iterations was considered and the relative tolerance error has been set to \( 10^{-5} \).

**Validation of numerical results**

To check the accuracy of the numerical method and code used for the solution of the problem under consideration, it was validated with Pattnaik [11] in the absence of Soret and Dufour effects. This comparison shows good agreement between the results exists. This lends confidence into the numerical results to be reported subsequently.

The above three tables represents the comparison of present values of Skin friction \( \tau \), Nusselt number \( Nu \) and Sherwood number \( Sh \) with Pattnaik [11]. By observing Table-1 displays the effect of various parameters on Skin friction \( \tau \). From this table it is observed that the Skin friction \( \tau \) increases with increasing the values of \( M \), \( Sc \), \( Kc \), \( R \), \( \alpha \) and decreasing the values of \( Gr \), \( GrC \). On the other hand Skin friction \( \tau \) decreases with increasing the values of \( Kp \), \( t \) and...
decreasing the values of $Pr$, $a$. Table 2 conveys the influence of Prandtl number, heat source parameter, radiation parameter and time on Nusselt number. From this table it is clear that the Nusselt number decreases with decreasing the prandtl number $Pr$. On the other hand Nusselt number increases on increasing the $t_R$ and decreasing heat source parameter $S$. Thus it is concluded that the fluid with low thermal diffusivity and higher radiative property favors higher rate of heat transfer at the surface. Table 3 explains the effect of Schmidt number, chemical reaction parameter and time on Sherwood number, it determines the rate of solutal concentration at the surface of the wall. From this table it is clear that Sherwood number increases on increasing $Sc$, $Kc$ and $t$. Thus, heavier species with higher rate of chemical reaction increases the rate of solutal concentration at the surface.

### Table-1. Comparison of Skin friction $\tau$ (when $Sr = 0$ and $Du = 0$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$Gr$</th>
<th>$Gc$</th>
<th>$Kp$</th>
<th>$Kc$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>$Sc$</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>Pattnaik [11]</th>
<th>Present Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2389</td>
<td>1.2389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6663</td>
<td>1.6663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3617</td>
<td>2.3617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7410</td>
<td>0.7410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2698</td>
<td>1.2698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3072</td>
<td>1.3072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5619</td>
<td>-0.5019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1909</td>
<td>1.1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3503</td>
<td>1.3503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.7036</td>
<td>1.7036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$\pi/6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8947</td>
<td>0.8947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-2. Comparison of Nusselt number $Nu$ (when $Sr = 0$ and $Du = 0$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Pattnaik [11]</th>
<th>Present Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5214</td>
<td>0.5214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0208</td>
<td>1.0208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4849</td>
<td>0.4849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6832</td>
<td>0.6832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4629</td>
<td>0.4629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6145</td>
<td>0.6145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-3. Comparison of Sherwood number $Sh$ (when $Sr = 0$ and $Du = 0$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Sc$</th>
<th>$Kc$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Pattnaik [11]</th>
<th>Present Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5674</td>
<td>0.5674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2688</td>
<td>1.2688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6896</td>
<td>0.6896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8228</td>
<td>0.8228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Comprehensive numerical calculations are performed by using the finite element method to gain a perspective of the flow pattern for various values of the pertinent parameters such as thermal Grashof number, mass Grashof number, magnetic parameter, permeability...
parameter, acceleration parameter, Prandtl number, thermal radiation parameter, heat absorption parameter, Dufour number, Schmidt number, Soret number, chemical reaction parameter and time. In the present study we adopted the following default parameter values of finite element computations: 

$Gr = 2.0, Ge = 2.0, M = 2.0, K = 0.5, Pr = 0.71, R = 4.0, S = 2.0, Du = 0.1, Sc = 0.6, Kc = 0.2, Sr = 0.2,$

$t = 0.2$ and $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{6}$. All Figures therefore correspond to these values unless specifically indicated on the appropriate figure.

Figure-2 shows the effect of magnetic field parameter $M$ and porosity parameter $K_p$ on velocity profile. For increasing the Magnetic field parameter the velocity will be decreases. This is due to the fact that the introduction of a transverse magnetic field, normal to the flow direction, has a tendency to create the drag known as the Lorentz force which tends to resist the flow. Hence, the horizontal velocity profiles decrease as the magnetic parameter $M$ increases. Similarly, in the case of porosity parameter, if increase the value of $K_p$ then the velocity will be reduces. Figure-3 indicates the effect of angle of inclination $\alpha$ and time $t$ on velocity profile. If increases the angle of inclination and time then velocity increase at all points.

Figure-4 illustrates the effect of heat source parameter and radiation parameter on velocity profile. Clearly observed that an increase in heat source parameter leads to an increase in the velocity. But in case of radiation parameter the reverse effect is observed. Figure-5 exhibits the effect of Schmidt number and chemical reaction parameter on velocity profile. Schmidt number is the ratio of momentum to the mass diffusivity. It is to be found that increase the Schmidt number decreases the velocity and similar effect is observed in case of chemical reaction parameter.
Figure-6. Velocity profile for different $Gr$ and $Gc$.

Figure-7. Velocity profile for different $Pr$ and $a$.

Figure-8. Velocity profile for different $Du$ and $Sr$.

Figure-9. Temperature profile for different $R$ and $S$.

Figure-10. Temperature profile for different $Du$.

Figure-11. Concentration profile for different $Ke$, $t$ and $Sc$. 
The thermal Grashof number Gr signifies the relative effect of the thermal buoyancy (due to density differences) force to the viscous hydrodynamic force in the boundary layer flow. As expected, it is observed that an increase in thermal buoyancy and mass buoyancy leads to the increase in the velocity due to the enhancement in the buoyancy force. Figure-7 indicates the effect of Prandtl number Pr and acceleration parameter on velocity profile. The Prandtl number defines the ratio of momentum diffusivity to thermal diffusivity. Clearly observed that an increase in Prandtl number leads to decrease the velocity and the reverse effect have been observed in case of accelerating parameter.

Figure-8 shows the combined effects of diffusion-thermo and thermal-diffusion. The thermal-diffusion (Soret) effect refers to mass flux produced by a temperature gradient and the diffusion-thermo (Dufour) effect refers to heat flux produced by a concentration gradient. It is found that an increase in the Dufour number and Soret number causes a rise in the velocity throughout the boundary layer.

Figure-9 depict the effect of heat source parameter and radiation parameter on temperature profile. It is noticed that an increase in heat source parameter leads to an increase in the temperature. But in case of radiation parameter the opposite effect have been observed.

For different values of the Dufour number Du, the temperature profiles are plotted in Figure-10. The Dufour number Du signifies the contribution of the concentration gradients to the thermal energy flux in the flow. It is found that an increase in the Dufour number causes a rise in the temperature throughout the boundary layer. Figure-11 shows that the effect of Schmidt number, time and rate of chemical reaction on concentration profile. The Schmidt number embodies the ratio of the momentum to the mass diffusivity. It is noticed that high value of Sc and higher rate of chemical reaction decreases the concentration at all points. The variation of the concentration distribution of the flow field with the diffusion of the foreign mass is shown in Figure-12. It is observed from this figure that the concentration distribution increases at all points of the flow field with increasing in the Soret number Sr. This shows that the diffusive species with higher value of Soret number have a enhancing effect on the concentration distribution of the flow field.

CONCLUSIONS

In this investigation thermal-diffusion (Soret) and diffusion-thermo (Dufour) effects on MHD flow through porous medium past an exponentially accelerated inclined plate with variable temperature have been developed and then solved numerically by using finite element method. The numerical results are presented graphically and excellent agreement is obtained. Magnetic field parameter M, Porosity parameter Kp, angle of inclination α, Prandtl number Pr, having reverse influence on velocity. Whereas Heat source parameter S, thermal Grashof number Gr, mass Grashof number Gc, and acceleration parameter α having similar influence on velocity. An increase in Heat source parameter S, Dufour effect Du and Soret effect Sr tends to increase in temperature. But in case of radiation parameter R the reverse effect have been observed. Soret number results in an increase in the concentration. Whereas in case of Schmidt number Sc and rate of chemical reaction Kc decreases the concentration.
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