



# CHARACTERIZATION OF OUTER MEASURE OF PARTIAL LATTICES IN A COUNTABLE BOOLEAN LATTICE

Y. V. Seshagiri Rao and D. V. S. R. Anil Kumar

Teegala Krishna Reddy Engineering College, Meerpet, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

E-Mail: [yangalav@gmail.com](mailto:yangalav@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

This paper describes that countable join (meet) of members of subsets of  $L$ , meet (join) of countable join (meet) of members of subsets of  $L$ , a measure on a Boolean lattice, outer measure of a partial lattice induced by a measure. Some properties of outer measure are verified and established that the measure and outer measure of any partial lattice in a Boolean lattice are equal and the compliment of partial lattice is also a partial lattice, also define measurability of any partial lattice in a countable Boolean lattice  $L$ . Finally verifies that the equivalent conditions of various forms of Littlewoods's first principle using  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta\sigma}$ .

**Keywords:** Boolean lattice, little Woods's first principle, partial lattice.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

[1] In a distributive lattice  $L$  If there exists a unique complement  $l'$  such that  $l \vee l' = 1$  (one) and  $l \wedge l' = 0$  (zero) for any element  $l$  in  $L$  then  $L$  is said to be Boolean Lattice. If a Boolean lattice  $L$  is closed under countable joins then  $L$  is called countable Boolean Lattice. That is any sequence  $\{l_n\}$  in  $L$  has l.u.b. in  $L$ . [3] A nonempty subset  $H$  of a countable Boolean lattice  $L$  is called a partial lattice if for  $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H$ , if  $h_1 \wedge h_2 = h_3$  (dually,  $h_1 \vee h_2 = h_3$ ), then we say that  $h_1 \wedge h_2$  (dually  $h_1 \vee h_2$ ) is defined in  $H$  and it equals  $h_3$ . If for  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ ,  $h_1 \wedge h_2 \notin H$  (dually  $h_1 \vee h_2 \notin H$ ), then we say that  $h_1 \wedge h_2$  (dually  $h_1 \vee h_2$ ) are not defined in  $H$ . Thus  $(H, \wedge, \vee)$  is a set with two partial binary operations.  $(H, \wedge, \vee)$  is called a partial lattice or a relative sublattice of  $L$ .

In section2 we define countable join (meet) of members of subsets of  $L$ , meet (join) of countable join (meet) of members of subsets of  $L$ , a measure on a Boolean lattice, outer measure of a partial lattice induced by a measure.

In section3 the properties of outer measure are verified and establish results that the measure and outer measure of any partial lattice in a Boolean lattice are equal, the compliment of the partial lattice is also a partial lattice.

In section4 we define a measurability of any partial lattice in a countable Boolean lattice  $L$ . Also verify equivalent conditions of various forms of Littlewoods's first principle using  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta\sigma}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $L$  be a countable Boolean lattice and  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Boolean lattice of partial lattices of  $L$  under the set inclusion.

**Definition 2.1** For any set  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $L$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  stands for the collection of countable join of members of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\delta$  stands for the collection of countable meet of members of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  stands for the join of collection of

countable meet of members of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta\sigma}$  stands for the meet of collection of countable join of members of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

### Example 2.1

(1) If  $\mathcal{A} = P(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \mathcal{A}_\delta = P(\mathbb{R})$

(2)  $L = \{(a, \infty) / a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma = L \cup \{\emptyset\}$

Let  $L$  be a lattice and  $\mathcal{L}$  a collection of partial lattices in  $L$  closed under join and meet and containing  $0$  and  $L$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Measure on a Boolean lattice) [2] A non-negative extended real valued function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{L}$  such that (1)  $\mu(0) = 0$ . (2) If  $\{A_n\}$  is any sequence of

pairwise disjoint elements in  $L$  then  $\mu(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$

whenever  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{L}$  is called measure on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a countable Boolean lattice then  $\bigvee_n a_n \in \mathcal{L}$  for every sequence  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 2.3** If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(L, \mathcal{L})$ , for any partial lattice  $H \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Define  $\mu^*(H) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) / H \subset \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \text{ and } A_i \right.$

$\in \mathcal{L} \left. \right\}$   $\mu^*$  is called the outer measure induced by  $\mu$ .

**Remark 2.2** In this context, the measure is defined on elements of the Boolean lattice of partial lattices in  $L$ , whereas the outer measure is defined for any partial lattice  $H$  in  $L$ .

## 3. PROPERTIES OF $\mu^*$

Properties of  $\mu^*$

(1)  $\mu^*(0) = 0$

(2)  $\mu^*(H) \geq 0 \quad \forall$  partial lattices  $H$



(3)  $j^*(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} j^*(H_i)$  for every countable sequence of partial lattices  $\{H_i\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Proof of (3):** We may assume that  $j^*(H_i) < \infty \forall i$ .  
Fix  $i$ , there exist a sequence  $\{H_{i,n}\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $H_i \subseteq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{i,n}$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j(H_{i,n}) \leq j^*(H_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} \forall i.$$

Clearly  $\{H_{i,n}\}$  for  $i \geq 1, n \geq 1$  is countable collection of partial lattices in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $H_i \subseteq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{i,n}$ ,

$$\text{Further } j^*(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i) \leq \sum_{i,n} j(H_{i,n}) \leq \sum_i j^*(H_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} = \sum_i j^*(H_i) + \varepsilon \text{ this true for every } \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\text{So } j^*(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} j^*(H_i)$$

**Result 3.1**  $j^*(A) = j(A)$  if  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**Proof:** Clearly  $A \subseteq A$

$$\text{If } A \in \mathcal{L}, j^*(A) \leq j(A) \quad (1)$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is sequence  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigvee A_n$  and  $\sum j(A_n) < j^*(A) + \varepsilon$

$$\text{Since } A \subseteq \bigvee A_n, j(A) \leq j(\bigvee A_n)$$

$$\leq \sum j(A_n) \text{ [By countable subadditive property[2]]} \\ < j^*(A) + \varepsilon$$

This is true for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\text{Therefore } j(A) \leq j^*(A) \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2)  $j^*(A) = j(A)$ .

**Result 3.2** If  $H$  is a partial lattice then  $H' = \{a' / a \in H\}$  is a partial lattice.

**Proof:** Let  $b', c', d' \in H'$ , if  $b' \wedge c' = d'$ , then  $(b \vee c)' = d'$

Implies  $b \vee c = d \in H$ . Therefore  $b' \wedge c' = \text{glb } \{b', c'\}$  in  $H'$

Dually, if  $b' \vee c' = d'$ , then  $(b \wedge c)' = d'$ . Implies  $b \wedge c = d \in H$

Therefore  $b' \vee c' = \text{lub } \{b', c'\}$  in  $H'$ . Hence  $H'$  is a partial lattice.

#### 4. MEASURABILITY OF PARTIAL LATTICES

Let  $L$  be a countable Boolean lattice,  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Boolean lattice of partial lattices in  $L$ . Clearly  $H \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $H^c = L - H \in \mathcal{L}$ . We now define measurability of a partial lattice  $A \subseteq L$  via a given measure on  $\mathcal{L}$

**Definition 4.1** A partial lattice  $A \subseteq L$  is said to be  $j^*$  measurable if for any  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $j^*(E) = j^*(E \wedge A) + j^*(E \wedge A^c)$ .

**Note 4.1** For convenience, we write measurable for  $j^*$  measurable.

We assume the following Littlewoods's condition for  $j$ :

If  $A \subseteq L$  and for every  $\Delta > j^*(A)$ , there exist a sequence of disjoint partial lattices  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigvee A_n$  and  $\sum j^*(A_n) < \Delta$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $A$  be a partial Lattice and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $O$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$  such that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$  and  $j^*(O) \leq j^*(A) + \varepsilon$ . There is  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  partial lattice  $G$  such that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $j^*(A) = j^*(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be any partial Lattice.

Part - 1:

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$

First, we show that there is  $O$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$  such that  $A \subseteq O$  and  $j^*(O) \leq j^*(A) + \varepsilon$ .

Case (i). Suppose  $j^*(A) = \infty$ .

Take the  $O = A$ .

Clearly  $A \subseteq O$  and  $j^*(O) = \infty$ .

Also  $j^*(A) + \varepsilon = \infty$

Implies  $j^*(O) \leq j^*(A) + \varepsilon$ .

Case (ii). Suppose  $j^*(A)$  is finite. That is  $j^*(A) < \infty$ .

By Littlewoods's property, for every  $\varepsilon > j^*(A)$ , there exist a sequence of disjoint partial lattices  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigvee A_n$  and  $\sum j^*(A_n) < \varepsilon$ .

Take  $O = \bigvee_n A_n$  Clearly  $A \subseteq O$

$$\text{Also } j^*(O) \leq j^*(\bigvee_n A_n) \leq \sum_n j^*(A_n) < j^*(A) + \varepsilon$$

Part - 2:

Now we will show that there is  $G$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  lattice such that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $j^*(A) = j^*(G)$ .

Case (i). Suppose  $j^*(A) = \infty$ . Take  $A_n = A \forall n$  and  $G = \bigwedge A_n$

Clearly,  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  lattice such that  $A \subseteq G$  and also  $j^*(A) = \infty = j^*(G)$ .



**Case (ii):** Suppose  $j^*(A) < \infty$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by part - 1, there exist a partial lattice  $A_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  such that  $A \subseteq A_n$  and  $j^*(A_n) \leq j^*(A) + \frac{1}{n}$ . This is true  $\forall n$ .

Take  $G = \bigwedge_n A_n$ . Clearly,  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $A \subseteq G$

Implies  $j^*(A) \leq j^*(G)$  (3)

We have  $G \subseteq A_n \forall n$ . Implies  $j^*(G) \leq j^*(A_n) \leq j^*(A) + \frac{1}{n} \forall n$ .

Implies  $j^*(G) \leq j^*(A)$  (4)

From (1) and (2) we have  
 $j^*(A) = j^*(G)$ .

**Result 4.1** If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are measurable partial lattices then  $B_1 - B_2$  and  $B_1 \Delta B_2$  are also measurable partial lattices.

**Proof:** Suppose  $B_2$  is measurable partial lattice

Implies  $B_2^c$  is measurable partial lattice

Implies  $B_1 \wedge B_2^c$  is measurable partial lattice

Now  $B_1 \wedge B_2^c = B_1 - B_2$

But  $B_1 \wedge B_2^c$  is measurable partial lattice

Implies  $B_1 - B_2$  is measurable partial lattice

Similarly,  $B_2 - B_1$  is measurable partial lattice

Now,  $B_1 \Delta B_2 = (B_1 - B_2) \vee (B_2 - B_1)$  is also a measurable partial lattice.

**Theorem 4.2** Suppose  $E$  is any partial lattice in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the following five statements are equivalent.

- $E$  is measurable.
- Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is partial lattice  $O$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  such that  $O$  contains  $E$  and  $j^*(O - E) < \varepsilon$ .
- Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is partial lattice  $F$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\delta$  such that  $F \subseteq E$  and  $j^*(E - F) < \varepsilon$ .
- There is partial lattice  $G$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  with  $E \subseteq G$  and  $j^*(G - E) = 0$ .
- There is partial lattice  $F$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta\sigma}$  with  $F \subseteq E$  and  $j^*(E - F) = 0$ .

**Proof:**

Part - 1:

To prove that (1) implies (2)

Case (i) suppose  $E$  is measurable and  $j^*(E) < \infty$ .

By above theorem 4.1, there exist partial lattice  $O$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  such that  $E \subseteq O$  and  $j^*(O) \leq j^*(E) + \varepsilon$

Since  $E$  is measurable,

$$j^*(O) = j^*(O \wedge E) + j^*(O \wedge E^c)$$

$$\text{Implies } j^*(O) = j^*(E) + j^*(O - E)$$

$$\text{Implies } j^*(O - E) = j^*(O) - j^*(E) < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{Therefore } j^*(O - E) < \varepsilon$$

Hence for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $O \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$  such that

$O \supseteq E$  and  $j^*(O - E) < \varepsilon$ , provided  $j^*(E) < \infty$

Case (ii) supposes  $j^*(E)$  is infinite.

Let  $O = \bigvee_n A_n$ , where  $\{A_n\}$  is a countable collection of disjoint partial lattices.

$$\text{Now } E = E \wedge O = E \wedge \left( \bigvee_n A_n \right) = \bigvee_n (E \wedge A_n) = \bigvee_n E_n$$

where  $E_n = E \wedge A_n \forall n$

Clearly, each  $E_n$  is measurable and  $j^*(E_n) \leq j^*(A_n) \forall n$ .

By case (i), there exist  $A_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ ,  $A_n \supseteq E_n$  such that  $j^*(A_n - E_n) < \varepsilon$

Since  $A_n \supseteq E_n \forall n$  implies  $\bigvee_n A_n \supseteq \bigvee_n E_n$  implies  $O$

$\supseteq E$

$$\text{Now } O - E = \bigvee_n A_n - \bigvee_n E_n \subseteq \bigvee_n (A_n - E_n)$$

$$j^*(O - E) \leq j^*\left[\bigvee_n (A_n - E_n)\right] \leq \sum_n j^*(A_n - E_n) < \varepsilon$$

Therefore,  $j^*(O - E) < \varepsilon$

Therefore for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $O$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ ,  $O$

$\supseteq E$  such that  $j^*(O - E) < \varepsilon$

Hence (1) implies (2).

Part - 2:

To prove that (2) implies (4)

Assume (2).

That is; for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $O$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ ,  $O$

$\supseteq E$  such that  $j^*(O - E) < \varepsilon$ .

By (2), for any positive integer  $n$ , there exist

partial lattice  $A_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ ,  $A_n \supseteq E$  such that  $j^*(A_n - E) < \frac{1}{n} = \varepsilon$ .

Write  $G = \bigwedge_n A_n$ .

Then clearly  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$

Since  $E \subseteq A_n \forall n$ ,

$E \subseteq \bigwedge_n A_n$  Implies  $E \subseteq G$

Now  $G \subseteq A_n \forall n$

Implies  $G - E \subseteq A_n - E \forall n$

Implies  $j^*(G - E) \leq j^*(A_n - E) < \varepsilon, \forall n$

Implies  $j^*(G - E) = 0$ .

Therefore there exist partial lattice  $G$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  such that  $E \subseteq G$  and  $j^*(G - E) = 0$



Hence (2) implies (4).

PART - 3:

To prove that (4) implies (1)

Assume (4).

That is; there is  $G$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  such that  $E \subseteq G$  and  $\mu^*(G - E) = 0$ .

Now  $G$  is measurable,  $E$  is measurable.

So  $G - E$  is measurable.

Since  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$ , we can write  $G$  as a countable intersection of partial lattices in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$

Therefore  $G$  is measurable.

Now  $E = G - (G - E)$  is measurable.

Therefore  $E$  is measurable. [By result 4.1]

Hence (4) implies (1).

PART - 4:

To prove that (1) implies (3)

Assume (1).

That is;  $E$  is measurable.

By the Result 3.2,  $E^c$  is measurable.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by (2) there exist  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$  lattice  $O$  containing  $E^c$  such that  $\mu^*(O - E^c) < \varepsilon$ .

Write  $F = O^c$  [since  $O \supseteq E^c$  Implies  $O^c \subseteq E$  Implies  $F \subseteq E$ ]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } \mu^*(E - F) &= \mu^*(E - O^c) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap O) && [\text{since } A - B = A \cap B^c] \\ &= \mu^*(O \cap E) && [\text{By commutative law}] \\ &= \mu^*(O - E^c) && [\text{since } A - B = A \cap B^c] \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a partial lattice  $F$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ ,  $F \subseteq E$  and  $\mu^*(E - F) < \varepsilon$

Hence (1) implies (3).

PART - 5:

To prove that (3) implies (5).

Assume (3).

That is; for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a partial lattice  $F$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$  such that  $F \subseteq E$  and  $\mu^*(E - F) < \varepsilon$ .

In particular for all positive integer  $n$ , there exist a partial lattice  $F_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ ,  $F_n \subseteq E$  such that  $\mu^*(E - F_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ .

$$\text{Write } F = \bigvee_n F_n$$

Then  $F$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$  and also  $F \subseteq E$ .

[since  $F_n \subseteq E \forall n$ , implies  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \subseteq E$ . Implies  $F \subseteq E$ ]

$$\text{Consider } 0 \leq \mu^*(E - F) \leq \mu^*(E - F_n) < \frac{1}{n} \forall n.$$

This is true for all  $n$ .

Implies  $\mu^*(E - F) = 0$

Therefore there exist a partial lattice  $F$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $F \subseteq E$

such that  $\mu^*(E - F) = 0$ .

PART - 6:

To prove that (5) implies (1)

Assume (5).

That is; there is a partial lattice  $F$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $F \subseteq E$  such that  $\mu^*(E - F) = 0$ .

Implies  $E - F$  is measurable [By result 4.1.]

Since  $F$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$ , we can write  $F$  as a countable join of partial lattices in  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$

That is  $F = \bigvee_n F_n$ . We know that each  $F_n$  is measurable.

Therefore  $F$  is measurable.

Now  $E = F \vee (E - F)$  is measurable.

Therefore (5) implies (1).

Hence (1) implies (2) implies (3) implies (4) implies (5).

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We defined countable join (meet) of members of subsets of  $L$ , meet (join) of countable join (meet) of members of subsets of  $L$ , a measure on a Boolean lattice, outer measure of a partial lattice induced by a measure. The properties of outer measure are verified and established that the measure and outer measure of any partial lattice in a Boolean lattice are equal and the complement of partial lattice is also a partial lattice, also defined measurability of any partial lattice in a countable Boolean lattice  $L$ . Finally verified that the equivalent conditions of various forms of Littlewoods's first principle using  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta\sigma}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Birkhoff.G. 1967. Lattice Theory 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., AMS Colloquium Publications. Providence, RI.
- [2] Royden. H.L. 1981. Real Analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Macmillan Publishing. New York.
- [3] Szasz Gabor. 1963. Introduction to lattice theory, academic press. New York and London.