



SECOND LAW ANALYSIS OF MAGNETIZED MICROPOLAR FLUID ON HORIZONTAL INNER ROTATING CYLINDER WITH CHEMICAL REACTION AND CROSS DIFFUSIONS EFFECTS

G. Nagaraju¹, Anjanna Matta² and S. Shilpa³

¹Center for Research and Strategic Studies, Lebanese French University, Kurdistan Region Erbil, Iraq ²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, ICFAI Foundation for Higher Education, Dontanapalli, Hyderabad, Telangana, India ³Department of Mathematics, B V Raju Institute of Technology, Narsapur, Telangana, India

E-Mail:naganitw@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This Research paper discusses the second analysis of Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), a dissipative micropolar fluid by the annulus of horizontal internal rotating cylinders in the presence of chemical reactions and cross diffusions. The fluid movement is produced at a steady angular velocity from the inner rotation of the cylinder. The flow of heat and mass transfer equations are solved by RK4 shooting technique. The impact of different geometric parameters on azimuthal velocity, micro-rotation, temperature, concentration, Bejan and entropy generation numbers are shown through graphs. The results show that the entropy generation number decelerates with an increase in the temperature difference near the inner pipe, while it increases as the concentration difference parameter increases. The Brinkmann number increases the profiles of the temperature and reduces the concentration.

Keywords: micropolar fluid, MHD, hyper stick condition, soret and dufour parameter, joule heating, entropy.

Nomenclature		С	Parameter of the coupling vorticity
v	Tangential velocity	S	Couple stress parameter
Р	Fluid pressure	δ	Another rheological parameter
N_1	Micro-rotation vector	Re	Modified Reynolds number
σ	Electrical conductivity	Df	Dufour number,
μ,κ	Viscosity coefficients	Cr	Cemical reaction parameter
β,γ	Gyro viscosity coefficients	Sr	Soret number.
$\alpha = \frac{K}{\rho C_p}$	Thermal diffusivity	INTRODUCTIO The flui	DN d studies involving the horizontal coaxial
Κ	Thermal conductivity	internal rotating	cylinders have received the subject of
K_T	Thermal diffusion ratio	great interest be	ecause of the importance of numerous
D	Diffusion coefficient	industrial applica	ations. In the design of electric machines,
Cs	Concentration Susceptibility	oil drilling, diaba	atic flow in an annulus (Becker and Kaye
T_m	Mean temperature	[1]), swirl nozzle	es, porous bearings, airborne applications,
k_1	Rate of reaction.	combustion char	mbers and heat generated in a electric
ρ	Density	generator. Diffe	erent researchers have examined the
Be	Bejan number	problem of New	tonian/non-Newtonian flow bounded by
Br (= Ec.Pr)	Brinkman number	coaxial rotating c	cylinders. Coney and Shaarawi [2] are the
B_0	Magnetic flux	first to study th	le boundary layer flow at the entrance
Ns	Entropy generation number,	Mishan et al [2]	rizonial cylinders with internal folation.
\mathcal{S}_G	Local volumetric entropy	hotween two ould	examined the now of bingham plastic
Pr	Prandtl number	between two cyn	inders. Richard <i>et al.</i> [4] investigated the
На	Hartmann number	viscous now un	inders with an improved pressure andient
Sc	Schmidt number	Main and Cases	ratte [5] studied flow of newer low in a
N_H	Entropy generation, Heat transfer	viraular nina. Par	reno [5] studied now of power law in a
N_F	Entropy generation, fluid friction	flow of appulue y	with internal rotation. They identified that
N_M	Entropy generation, magnetic field	the increase in w	with internal rotation. They identified that
N_D	Entropy generation, mass transfer across finite concentration difference.	the velocity near	the inner cylinder.
Ср	Specific heat	The ma	agnetohydrodynamic (MHD) study has
λ	Diffusive constant parameter	received more i	nterest for its wide range of industrial
T_d	Temperature difference number.	applications in ge	eophysics, MHD pumps, fire engineering,
C_d	Concentration difference number.	geothermal energy	gy extraction, nuclear reactors. Kumari
ϕ	Irreversibility ratio	and Nath [7] e	examined the unsteady free convection



through coaxial cylinders, partially or completely packed with porous material. They identified that the nusselt number of the external cylinders increases as the Darcy parameter increases. The Magnetized Newtonian flow through the straight cylinder with external cylinder rotation is examined by Mozayyeni and Rahimi [8]. Many authors have investigated the analysis of cross-diffusion effects in horizontal or vertical cylinders. Although, according to the author's knowledge, a small number of studies have been reported with cross diffusions on rotating horizontal cylinders in the event of a chemical reaction. Sheikhzadeh et al. [9] numerically investigated Al₂O₃-water nano fluid through the internal rotating coaxial cylinder. From this, it is clear that the Nusselt number increases with the increase of volumetric nanoparticles. Sofiane et al. [10] studied cross- diffusion and axial magnetic field effects through a horizontal annulus. They notified that the concentration decelerates with the increase of a magnet parameter. Sheikholeslami and Abelman [11] studied nanofluid flow through two horizontal concentrate cylinders under an applied magnetic field. They concluded that the concentration profiles increase with the increasing of Schmidt number. Ramana Murthy et al. [12] studied the MHD flow of couple stress fluid between coaxial rotating cylinders with the inner porous lining. Sharma and Debozani [13] studied the steady Newtonian flow through coaxial cylinders containing a permeable material with a higher order chemical reaction and a radial magnetic field.

In recent years, the investigation on non-Newtonian flows has improved considerably due to repeated industrial processes in technical applications. Eringen [14] initiated the micropolar fluid theory, in which fluid particles underwent for translation and internal rotation. This theory allows for two separate vectors, velocity and microrotation vectors associated with each fluid particle. Hence angular momentum equation will not disappear here as it does in viscous fluid theory. The velocity vector takes place due to translatory motion, although the gyration vector appears due to a microrotatory motion. Micropolar fluid can exhibits couple stresses, subcutaneously the usual force stresses and can have micro-inertia. These fluids consist of elongated molecules such as polymer suspensions, ferrofluid, bubbling liquids and blood of animals, etc., which can be classified as micropolar fluids. Ariman et al. [15] studied the Poiseuille and Couette flow involving co-rotating cylinders. Comprehensive list of studies on micropolar fluids can be seen in [16-19]. Very recently Gajjela et al. [20] studied Soret, Dufour and chemical reaction effects in a magnetized micropolar rotating annular flow.

In the ongoing past, numerous specialists have been invited to analyze the entropy analysis in the study of thermodynamics with the motivation behind the entropy generation minimization (EGM). The fluid and thermal energy processes are on a very basic level irreversible, which is an extremely basic event of numerous thermodynamic processes. The second law analysis is associated with any heat exchange was initially examined by Bejan [21], who utilized EGM as the principle device. Bejan [22] examined the thermodynamic analysis using different flow problems. The MHD flow with the entropy generation of various fluids was analyzed by many researchers [23-28].

Motivated by the above studies, we examine the thermodynamic analysis of MHD micropolar fluid with the effects of Soret, Dufour, Joule heating and first order chemical reactions in horizontal coaxial cylinders of infinite length. The resulting coupled nonlinear differential equations are solved by shooting with the Runge-Kutta approach. The response of different physical flow parameters on transverse velocity, micro-rotation, heat and mass transfer and entropy distributions has been studied through graphs.

MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Consider a steady, incompressible flow of a micropolar fluid between horizontal inner rotating infinite cylinders and magnetic field applied Z-direction as shown in Figure-1. Additionally, the effects of chemical reaction, diffusion-thermo (Dufour) and thermal-diffusion (Soret) is considered. The internal and external cylinders preserved at a temperature T_1 and T_2 , concentrations C_1 and C_2 respectively. The viscous heating effects in the thermal equation are maintained. The governing flow equations of MHD, mass and heat transfer of a micropolar fluid as follows:



Figure-1.Physical diagram of concentric inner rotating annulus.

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} = \rho \frac{V^2}{R} \tag{1}$$

$$-\kappa \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial R} + \left(\mu + \kappa\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial V}{\partial R} - \frac{V}{R^2}\right) - \sigma B_0^2 V = 0$$
(2)

$$-2\kappa N_1 + \kappa \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial R} + \frac{V}{R}\right) + \gamma \left(\frac{\partial^2 N_1}{\partial R^2} + \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial R}\right) = 0$$
(3)

$$\frac{K}{\rho C_{p}} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial R^{2}} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial T}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho C_{p}} \left[\mu \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial R} - \frac{V}{R} \right)^{2} + 4\kappa \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial R} + \frac{V}{R} \right) - N_{1} \right)^{2} + \beta \left(\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial R} \right)^{2} \right]$$
$$+ \frac{DK_{T}}{C_{s} C_{p}} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} C}{\partial R^{2}} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial C}{\partial R} \right) = 0$$
(4)

$$D\left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial C}{\partial R}\right) + \frac{DK_T}{T_m}\left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial T}{\partial R}\right) - k_1 C = 0 \qquad (5)$$

Following the analysis in [29], the associated boundary conditions are

$$(i)V = R\Omega, N_1 = \Omega, T = T_1, C = C_1 \text{ at } R = R_1$$

 $(ii)V = 0, N_1 = 0, T = T_2, C = C_2 \text{ at } R = R_2$ (6)

The basic equation together with boundary conditions, Eq. (2) to (6), which are currently ending up dimensional less form:

$$-\frac{c}{\eta}\frac{\partial N}{\partial r} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{v}{r^2}\right) - Ha^2 \left(1 - \eta\right)^{-2} v = 0$$
(7)

$$-2sN + s\eta \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{v}{r}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial N}{\partial r}\right) = 0$$
(8)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} + Br \left[\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{4c}{1-c} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{v}{r} \right) - \frac{N}{\eta} \right)^2 + \delta \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right]$$
(9)
+ $Pr D_f \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \end{pmatrix} + Sc \; Sr \left(\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} \right) - (K_1 + Cr \; \phi) = 0 \; (10)$$
(i) $v = N = \theta = \phi = 1 \text{ at } r = \eta$
(ii) (ii) $v = N = \theta = \phi = 0 \text{ at } r = 1$ (11)

where

$$v = \frac{V}{R_{1}\Omega}, r = \frac{R}{R_{2}}, N = \frac{N_{1}}{\Omega}, \eta = \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}, p = \frac{P}{\rho\Omega^{2}R_{1}^{2}}, \theta = \frac{T - T_{2}}{T_{1} - T_{2}}, \phi = \frac{C - C_{2}}{C_{1} - C_{2}}$$

$$s, c = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \mu}, Ha = B_{0} (R_{2} - R_{1}) \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\mu + \kappa}}, s = \frac{\kappa R_{2}^{2}}{\gamma},$$

$$\delta = \frac{\beta}{\mu R_{1}^{2}}, Br = \frac{\mu\Omega^{2}R_{1}^{2}}{\kappa (T_{1} - T_{2})}, Df = \frac{DK_{T} (C_{1} - C_{2})}{\nu C_{S}C_{P} (T_{1} - T_{2})},$$

$$Pr = \frac{\mu C_{P}}{\kappa}, Sc = \frac{\nu}{D}, Cr = \frac{k_{1}R_{1}^{2}}{D}, K_{1} = \frac{C_{2}R_{2}^{2}}{D(C_{1} - C_{2})}, Sr = \frac{DK_{T} (T_{1} - T_{2})}{\nu T_{m} (C_{1} - C_{2})}$$

The dimensional less coefficient of skin-friction, Nusselt as well as Sherwood numbers at inner and outer pipes are taken as

$$C_{f} = \frac{2\eta}{Re} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - (1 - c) \frac{v}{r} - \frac{c}{\eta} N \right), Nu = -\eta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r}, Sh = -\eta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}$$
(12)

Where
$$Re = \frac{\rho \Omega R_1^2}{\mu + \kappa}$$
.

SECOND LAW ANALYSIS

In existence of Joule Heating, the volumetric entropy generation number can be expressed as

$$S_{G} = \frac{K_{T}}{T_{1}^{2}} \left(\nabla T \right)^{2} + \frac{\mu}{T_{1}} \Phi + \frac{J^{2}}{\sigma T_{1}} + \frac{RD}{C_{1}} \left(\nabla C \right)^{2}$$
(13)

In Eq.(13), Φ is the viscous heating, J is current density and R is ideal gas constant.

$$S_{G} = \frac{K(\Delta T)^{2}}{(R_{2}T_{1})^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + \frac{\mu R_{1}^{2} \Omega^{2}}{T_{1}R_{2}^{2}} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{v}{r}\right)^{2} + \frac{4c}{1-c} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{v}{r}\right) - \frac{N}{\eta}\right]^{2} + \delta \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial r}\right)^{2} \right\} + \frac{\sigma R_{1}^{2} \Omega^{2} B_{0}^{2}}{T_{1}} v^{2} + \frac{RD}{C_{1}} \left(\frac{\Delta C}{R_{2}} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}\right)^{2}$$
(14)

Here, ΔT is Temperature difference and ΔC is Concentration difference. The dimensionless form of Entropy generation Ns the equation (14) can be given as

$$Ns = \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{R_2 T_1}{\Delta T}\right)^2 S_G$$

$$S_G = \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{Br}{T_d} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{v}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{4c}{1-c} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{v}{r}\right) - \frac{N}{\eta}\right]^2$$

$$+ \delta \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial r}\right)^2 \right\} + Ha^2 \left(1 - \eta\right)^{-2} \frac{Br}{T_d} v^2 + \lambda \left(\frac{C_d}{T_d}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}\right)^2$$

$$Ns = N_H + N_F + N_M + N_D$$
(15)
Where

Where

$$T_d = \frac{\Delta T}{T_1}, C_d = \frac{\Delta C}{C_1} \text{ and } \lambda = \frac{RDC_1}{K}$$

BEJAN NUMBER

Bejan number is described to be fraction of entropy generation due to heat transfer.

$$Be = \frac{N_H}{Ns} \tag{16}$$

NUMERICAL SOLUTION

The combined collection of nonlinear differential Eqs.(7)-(10) with boundary conditions(11) formed a second- order boundary value problem and was resolved by shooting with the Runge-Kutta scheme through an initial value problem. The convergence region in our counts was set by a minimum of 10^{-6} [Ref. Odelu et al.[27].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results obtained for velocity, micro- rotation, concentration, temperature, Bejan and entropy number, heat and mass transfer rates for various flow and



geometric parameters are explained in detail and presented in graphical form and tables.

The effect of coupling number 'c' at Ha = 1, s =2, $\eta = 0.2$, Pr = 0.7, Br = 0.35, $\delta = 0.05$, Sc = 0.5, Df = 1.5, $Sr = 0.9, T_d = 1, C_d = 0.25, \lambda = 0.9$ on velocity (v), microrotation (N), temperature (θ), concentration (ϕ), entropy (Ns) and Bejan number (Be) is shown in Figure-2. It is identified that c increases the azimuthal velocity and decelerates the concentration, while micro-rotation, temperature and entropy increase, but the number of Bejan fluctuates and reaches a minimum value in the center of the cylinder. The influence of Hartmann number 'Ha' at c = 0.4, s = 1.5, $\eta = 0.2$, Br = 0.7, Pr = 0.5, $\delta = 0.1$, Sc = 0.1 $0.45, Df = 0.8, Sr = 0.9, Cr = 1, Td = 1, Cd = 0.25, \lambda = 0.9$ on v, N, θ and ϕ are presented in Figure 3. For flow profiles, the Hartmann number characterizes the proportion of electromagnetic force to viscous force. It is recognized that the magnetic field allows a damping reaction at azimutal velocity by generating drag force that resists motion, leads to velocity and Bejan numbers decrease near the inner cylinder and Be reaches a minimum value at r = 0.3. As *Ha* increases micro-rotation, entropy, temperature profiles decrease. The concentration (ϕ) profiles increase with an increase in *Ha* values within the annular region. Figure-4 displays the effect of

Brinkman number 'Br' at c = 0.4, s = 2.5, Ha = 1.5, Pr =0.5, Sc = 0.3, $\delta = 0.25$, Sr = 0.15, Df = 1.5, Cr = 1, $K_1 =$ 0.01, $T_d = 1$, $C_d = 0.5$, $\lambda = 0.25$ on θ , ϕ , Ns and Be. The increase in Br increases the temperature, entropy, but decelerates the concentration. The Bejan number (Be) has fluctuations throughout the region. Figure-5 shows the control of Schmidt number 'Sc' on v, N, θ , and ϕ . The increase in Br increases the temperature, entropy, but decelerates the concentration. The first decreases near the internal cylinder to r = 0.3, but increases in the external region. The effects of C_d , λ and T_d on Ns and Be are displayed in Figures 6-8. It is identified that the increase in C_d and λ raise the Ns close to the inner cylinder and the reverse behaviour is observed with enhancing of Temperature difference parameter T_d ; The Bejan number decrease with increasing values of C_d and λ and attains minimum value at r = 0.37 and Be enhance with increment values of T_d . The response of Dufour parameter (*Df*) on θ , Soret number (Sr) and chemical reaction parameter (Cr)on ϕ for distinct values of alternative parameters and are displayed in Figure-9. The rise in Df increases θ whereas Sr decelerates ϕ . The concentrations (ϕ) accelerate as Cr enhances. For greater values of Cr increase molecular diffusivity at the centre of pipe.



Figure-2.The response of *c* on (a) Fluid Velocity, (b) Microrotation, (c) Temperature, (d) Concentration, (e) Entropy generation number, (f) Bejan number.





Figure-3.The response of *Ha* on (a) Fluid Velocity, (b) Microrotation, (c) Temperature, (d) Concentration, (e) Entropy generation number, (f) Bejan number.



Figure-4. The influence of *Br* on (a) Temperature, (b) Concentration, (c) Entropy generation number, (d) Bejan number.



Figure-5.The response of *Sc* on (a) Temperature, (b) Concentration, (c) Entropy generation number, (d) Bejan number.



Figure-6. The response of C_d on (a) Entropy generation number, (b) Bejan number.



Figure-7.The response of λ on (a) Entropy generation number, (b) Bejan number.



Figure-8.The response of T_d on (a) Entropy generation number, (b) Bejan number.



Figure-9. The influence of (a) Dufour parameter on θ

The deviation of the coupling number (c), Brinkman number (Br), together with the Schmidt number (Sc) is shown in Table-1 with the set values of other parameters. Skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number (Nu) at the internal cylinder and mass transfer at the external cylinder increases, but heat transfer at the external and mass transfer at the inner cylinder decelerates as c increases. In addition, it can be identified that the Nu at the (b) Soret parameter on ϕ (c) Chemical reaction Cr on ϕ .

inner and mass transfer rates at the outer cylinders increase with an increase in Br, while Nu at the outer and Sh at the inner cylinders decelerate with the increase in Br. Finally, it can be observed that the Nu at the inner and Sh at the outer cylinders increase with an increase in Sc, while Nu at the outer and Sh at the inner cylinders decelerate with an improved Sc.

С	Br	Sc	C _f (η)	C _f (1)	Nu(η)	Nu(1)	Sh(η)	Sh(1)
0.1	0.5	0.45	-0.0055	-0.0415	0.3015	-0.6189	0.0254	1.2589
0.4	0.5	0.45	-0.0046	-0.0400	0.3761	-1.1373	0.0011	1.4535
0.7	0.5	0.45	-0.0037	-0.0387	0.4252	-2.7432	-0.0126	2.0765
0.3	0.2	0.45	-0.0024	-0.0202	0.2347	-0.0713	0.0481	1.0503
0.3	0.4	0.45	-0.0024	-0.0202	0.3180	-0.6446	0.0203	1.2663
0.3	0.6	0.45	-0.0024	-0.0202	0.4013	-1.2179	-0.0075	1.4824
0.3	0.5	0.1	-0.0024	-0.0202	0.3240	-0.6648	0.0700	0.8986
0.3	0.5	0.4	-0.0024	-0.0202	0.3538	-0.8866	0.0168	1.2945
0.3	0.5	0.7	-0.0024	-0.0202	0.3941	-1.2021	-0.0550	1.8579

Table-1. Numerical outcomes for Coefficient of Skin friction Nusselt and Sherwood numbers at S = 1.5, $\eta = 0.2$, $\delta = 0.05, K_1 = 0.01, Pr = 0.7, Ha = 4, \delta = 0.05, Df = 0.8, Sr = 0.9, Cr = 1, Td = 1, Cd = 0.25, \lambda = 0.9.$



r	Solution of Sofiane et al.[10]	Present solution
0.2	1	1
0.3	0.8955	0.8954
0.4	0.7469	0.7467
0.5	0.6320	0.6318
0.6	0.5439	0.5437
0.7	0.4198	0.4195
0.8	0.2674	0.2671
0.9	0.1247	0.1242
1	0	0

Table-2.Comparison of the present numeric consequences of the velocity and that of Sofiane *et al.*[10] when $c \rightarrow 0$.

The present outcomes are significant in electromagnetic flow processing, engineering and biophysical flows, sustenance conservation, aerodynamic heating, MHD energy systems, diabetic flow in arteries and artificial dialysis and MHD pumps.

CONCLUSIONS

The numerical solutions for the second- law analysis of MHD, dissipative micropolar flow between two coaxial cylinders with chemical reaction and cross diffusion are presented by RK4 with a shooting procedure. The results obtained are excellent in agreement with previously published results (see Table-2). The following specific conclusions were drawn from this study:

- a) The fluid velocity increases near to inner cylinder with the raise coupling number and is pragmatic to decelerate with rise in Hartmann number.
- b) The temperature of the fluid rises with the enhancement in Brinkman and Dufour numbers.
- c) The fluid concentrations decelerate with the increase in Schmidt number and Soret parameters.
- d) The entropy generation number rises near the inner cylinders with increment values of the concentration difference parameter and the diffusive constant parameter, whereas it decelerates with the rise of the temperature difference parameter.
- e) Bejan number decelerates and reaches a minimum value at r = 0.37 with an increase value of the Concentration Difference Parameter, diffusive constant parameter, and increases with the Temperature Difference Parameter.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express thanks to Centre for Research and Strategic studies, Lebanese French University, Erbil city, Kurdistan region of Iraq, for providing this research opportunity.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Becker K. M. and Joseph Kaye.1962. Measurements of Diabatic Flow in an Annulus with an Inner Rotating Cylinder. J of Heat transfer. 84(2): 97-104.
- [2] Coney J. E. R. and EL-Shaarawi M. L. I. 1974. A Contribution to the Numerical Solution of Developing Laminar Flow in the Entrance Region of Concentric Annuli with Rotating Inner Walls. J of Fluids Engg. Paper No. 74-FE-2S: 333-340.
- [3] Mishra I. M., Kumar S. and Mishra P.1985. Entrance region flow of bingham plastic fluids in concentric annulus. Indian J. Technol. 23:81-87.
- [4] Richard M. Lueptow, Andreas Docter and Kyungyoon Min.1992. Stability of axial flow in an annulus with a rotating inner cylinder. Physics of Fluids. 4: 2446.
- [5] Maia M. C. A. and Gasparetto C. A.2003. A numerical solution for entrance region of non-Newtonian flow in annuli. Braz. J. Chem. Eng. 20: 201-211.
- [6] Ravanchi M. T., Mirzazadeh M. and Rashidi F.2007. Flow of Giesekus viscoelastic fluid in a concentric annulus with inner cylinder rotation. Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow. 28: 838-845.
- [7] Kumari M. and Nath G.2008. Unsteady natural convection from a horizontal annulus filled with a porous medium. Int Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 51: 5001-5007.
- [8] Omid Mahian., Shohel Mahmud. and Ioan Pop.2012. Analysis of first and second laws of thermodynamics between two isothermal cylinders with relative rotation in the presence of MHD flow. Int Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 55: 4808-4816.
- [9] Sheikhzadeh G. A., Teimouri H. and Mahmoodi M.2013.Numerical Study of Mixed Convection of Nanofluid in a Concentric Annulus with Rotating Inner Cylinder. Trans. Phenom. Nano Micro Scales. 1(1): 26-36.
- [10] Sofiane Aberkane., Mourad Mouderes., Malika Ihdene and Abderahmane Ghezal.2014. Effect of Magnetic Field on the Heat and Mass Transfer in a Rotating Horizontal Annulus. Proceedings of the International Conference on Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow Prague Czech Republic. 67: 1-9.

- [11] Mohsen Sheikholeslami. and Shirley Abelman.2015.Two-Phase Simulation of Nanofluid Flow and Heat Transfer in an Annulus in the Presence of an Axial Magnetic Field. IEEE transactions on nanotechnology. 14(3): 561-569.
- [12] Ramana Murthy J. V., Muthu P. and Nagaraju G.2010. Finite difference solution for MHD flow of couple stress fluid between two concentric rotating cylinders with porous lining. IJAMM. 6(12): 1-28.
- [13] Sharma B. R. and Debozani Borgohain.2016. Soret Effect on Chemically Reacting Natural Convection between Two Concentric Circular Cylinders in a Porous Medium. Int J of Engineering Trends and Technology. 33(6):307-313.
- [14] Eringen A. C.1966. The theory of micropolar fluids. J. Math. Mech. 16: 1-18.
- [15] Ariman T., Cakmak A. S. and Hill L. R.1967. Flow of micropolar fluids between two concentric cylinders. Physics of Fluids. 10: 2545-2550.
- [16] Ramkissoon H. and Majumdar S.R.1977. Unsteady flow of a micropolar fluid between two concentric circular cylinders. The Canadian J Chem Eng. 55: 408-413.
- [17] Aparna P. and Ramana Murthy J. V.2010. Uniform flow of an incompressible micropolar fluid past a permeable sphere. IEEMS. 8:1-10.
- [18] Ramana murthy J. V., Nagaraju G. and Muthu P. 2012. Micropolar fluid flow generated by a circular cylinder subject to longitudinal and torsional oscillations with suction/injection. Tamkang Journal of Mathematics. 43(3): 339-356.
- [19] Nagaraju G., Kaladhar K. and Sai K.S.2015. Magnetohydrodynamic effect on Rotating Free Surface Flow of Micropolar Fluid in a Cylindrical Container with Porous Lining. Int J of Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations. 5(3): 191-205.
- [20] Nagaraju Gajjela., Anjanna Matta and Kaladhar K.2017. The effects of Soret and Dufour, chemical reaction, Hall and ion currents on magnetized micropolar flow through co-rotating cylinders. AIP Advances. 7:1-16. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4991442.
- [21] Bejan A. 1982. Second-law analysis in heat transfer and thermal design. Adv. Heat Transfer. 15: 1-58.

- [22] Bejan A. 1979. A study of entropy generation in fundamental convective heat transfer. J Heat Transf. 101:718-725.
- [23] Shohel Mahmud. and Fraser R. A. 2003. Analysis of entropy generation inside concentric cylindrical annuli with relative rotation. Int. J of Them Sci. 42: 513-521.
- [24] Ali Mchirgui., Nejib Hidouri., Mourad Magherbi. and Ammar Ben Brahim.2012. Entropy generation in a square porous cavity using Darcy-Brinkman Formulation. Transp Porous Med. 93: 223-240.
- [25] Nagaraju G., Srinivas J., Ramana Murthy J. V. and Rashad A.M.2017. Entropy Generation Analysis of the MHD Flow of Couple Stress Fluid between Two Concentric Rotating Cylinders with Porous Lining. Heat Trans Asian Res. 46(4):316-330.
- [26] Srinivas Jangili., Adesanya S. O., Falade J. A. and Nagaraju Gajjela.2017.Entropy Generation Analysis for a Radiative Micropolar Fluid Flow Through a Vertical Channel Saturated with Non-Darcian Porous Medium. Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.3(4): 3759-3782.
- [27] Odelu Ojjela., Kesetti Ramesh. and Samir K. Das.2018. Second Law Analysis of MHD Squeezing Flow of Casson Fluid between Two Parallel Disks. International Journal of Chemical Reactor Engineering. 16(6):DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/ijcre-2017-0163.
- [28] Nagaraju G., Srinivas Jangili, Ramana Murthy J.V., Beg O.A. and Kadir A.2019. Second Law Analysis of Flow in a Circular Pipe with Uniform Suction and Magnetic Field Effects. ASME J of Heat transfer. 141(1):012004-1-9. doi:10.1115/1.4041796.
- [29] Nagaraju G., Shilpa S., and Anjanna Matta.2019.MHD Flow of Micropolar Fluid in the Annular Region of Rotating Horizontal Cylinders with Cross Diffusion, Thermophoresis, and Chemical Reaction Effects. Numerical Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow. Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering.399-407. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1903-7_46.